

Nonlocal vertex algebras generated by formal vertex operators

Haisheng Li¹

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Rutgers University, Camden, NJ 08102

and

Department of Mathematics, Harbin Normal University, Harbin, China

To James Lepowsky and Robert Wilson on Their 60th Birthdays

Abstract

This is the first paper in a series to study vertex algebra-like objects arising from infinite-dimensional quantum groups (quantum affine algebras and Yangians). In this paper we lay the foundation for this study. For any vector space W , we study what we call quasi compatible subsets of $\text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$ and we prove that any maximal quasi compatible subspace has a natural nonlocal (namely noncommutative) vertex algebra structure with W as a natural faithful quasi module in a certain sense and that any quasi compatible subset generates a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a quasi module. In particular, taking W to be a highest weight module for a quantum affine algebra we obtain a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a quasi module. We also formulate and study a notion of quantum vertex algebra and we give general constructions of nonlocal vertex algebras, quantum vertex algebras and their modules.

1 Introduction

Vertex (operator) algebras, as a new fundamental class of algebraic structures, have been known to have deep connections with various fields in both mathematics and physics. Among the important examples of vertex operator algebras are those associated to affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras (including infinite-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebras) and the Virasoro (Lie) algebra, which underline the algebraic study of the physical Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten model and the minimal models in conformal field theory, respectively. A fundamental problem, which was first formulated in [FJ] (cf. [EFK]), is to develop an appropriate theory of quantum vertex (operator) algebras, so that quantum affine algebras can be naturally associated to quantum vertex (operator) algebras in the same way that affine Lie algebras are associated with vertex algebras.

Roughly speaking, there have been three notions of quantum (operator) algebra in literature, which are E. Frenkel and Reshetikhin's notion of deformed chiral algebra (see [FR]), Etingof and Kazhdan's notion of quantum vertex operator algebra (see [EK]), and Borcherds' notion of quantum vertex algebra (see [B3]). All of these works produce interesting and important objects. On the other hand, as far as we understand, the previously mentioned problem is still to be solved. This is our main concern of this paper.

¹Partially supported by an NSA grant

Starting with this paper in a series, we develop a new theory eventually to give an explicit solution to this problem. In this paper we establish certain conceptual results and as a simple application we show that quantum affine algebras (through highest weight modules) in a certain natural way can be associated to certain nonlocal (namely noncommutative) vertex algebras, namely weak axiomatic G_1 -vertex algebras studied in [Li4], or field algebras studied in [K2] (cf. [BK]). (Nonlocal vertex algebras are analogues of (noncommutative) associative algebras, in contrast with that vertex algebras are analogues of commutative associative algebras.) This partially solves the problem and provides a machinery for a complete solution.

Our key (new) idea is that first of all we should study what kind of vertex algebra-like structures we possibly can get from the generating functions of quantum affine algebras in the Drinfeld's realization (see [Dr]) on a highest weight module (see [FJ]). This idea naturally came out of our earlier works [Li2], [Li3] and [Li5] where we established certain conceptual results and made natural connections between (both untwisted and twisted) affine Lie algebras and vertex algebras, and between certain quantum torus Lie algebras and vertex algebras.

Let W be any vector space. Set $\mathcal{E}(W) = \text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$. For $\alpha(x), \beta(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\alpha(x)_n \beta(x) = \text{Res}_{x_1} ((x_1 - x)^n \alpha(x_1) \beta(x) - (-x + x_1)^n \beta(x) \alpha(x_1)). \quad (1.1)$$

A subset S of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is said to be *local* if for any $\alpha(x), \beta(x) \in S$,

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k \alpha(x_1) \beta(x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \beta(x_2) \alpha(x_1)$$

for some nonnegative integer k . It was proved ([Li2], cf. [LL]) that for any local subset S , there exists a unique smallest closed local subspace $\langle S \rangle$ containing S and the identity operator 1_W of W and furthermore $\langle S \rangle$ is a vertex algebra with W naturally as a module. Take W to be a highest weight module for an (untwisted) affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of level $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$. For $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, the associated generating function $a(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a \otimes t^n) x^{-n-1}$ is naturally an element of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Furthermore, all the generating functions $a(x)$ on W form a local subspace S_W of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, where for any $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$(x_1 - x_2)^2 [a(x_1), b(x_2)] = 0.$$

Then we have a vertex algebra $\langle S_W \rangle$ (a subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$) with W as a module. It was proved that the vertex algebra $\langle S_W \rangle$ is also naturally a highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of level ℓ with 1_W as a highest weight vector where for $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \otimes t^n$ acts as $a(x)_n$. If W is taken to be what is called the Weyl vacuum module $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ of level ℓ , $\langle S_{V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)} \rangle$ is isomorphic to $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ as a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. Consequently, $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ has a natural vertex algebra structure and any highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of level ℓ is naturally a $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module. This describes the connection between (untwisted) affine Lie algebras and vertex algebras.

Now, consider a quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ (see [Dr], [FJ], cf. [J]). One can show that for any generating functions $a(x), b(x)$ in the Drinfeld's realization (see [Dr]) we have

$$f(x_1/x_2) a(x_1) b(x_2) = g(x_1/x_2) b(x_2) a(x_1)$$

for some nonzero polynomials $f(x), g(x)$. With this in mind, for any vector space W , we study what we call pseudo-local subsets of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, where U is said to be pseudo-local if for any $a(x), b(x) \in U$, there exist $u_i(x), v_i(x) \in U$ and $0 \neq p(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]$, $\psi_i(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}((x_2))((x_1))$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ such that

$$p(x_1, x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r \psi_i(x_1, x_2)u_i(x_2)v_i(x_1).$$

In fact, in this paper we study more general subsets of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, which we call quasi compatible subsets. A subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is quasi compatible if for any $a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x) \in U$, there exists a nonzero polynomial $f(x, y)$ such that

$$\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} f(x_i, x_j) \right) a_1(x_1) \cdots a_n(x_n) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, \dots, x_n))).$$

(This notion and the notion of compatibility studied in [Li4] were motivated by a notion of compatibility in [B2].)

Having chosen our study objects we now consider possible operations. First, note that the (old) operations defined in (1.1) on the *whole space* $\mathcal{E}(W)$ are no longer appropriate to study general quasi compatible subsets or pseudo local subsets. For example, in the study of vertex superalgebras in [Li2], if $\alpha(x), \beta(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W)$ satisfy the relation

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k \alpha(x_1) \beta(x_2) = -(x_1 - x_2)^k \beta(x_2) \alpha(x_1),$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the definition of $\alpha(x)_n \beta(x)$ should be modified with the minus sign between the two main terms on the right hand side being changed to plus sign. In [Li5], new operations were introduced where the new operations only use the product $\alpha(x_1) \beta(x_2)$, but not the product $\beta(x_2) \alpha(x_1)$. Also, they are “partial” operations defined for each ordered pair $(\alpha(x), \beta(x))$ with a certain property which is satisfied by any pair from a quasi compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Specifically, let $\alpha(x), \beta(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W)$ be such that

$$p(x_1, x_2) \alpha(x_1) \beta(x_2) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_2)))$$

for some nonzero polynomial $p(x_1, x_2)$. The products $\alpha(x)_n \beta(x)$ were defined in terms of the generating function $Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha(x), x_0) \beta(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(x)_n \beta(x) x_0^{-n-1}$ by

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha(x), x_0) \beta(x) = \iota_{x, x_0}(1/p(x + x_0, x)) \text{Res}_{x_1} x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1} \right) (p(x_1, x) \alpha(x_1) \beta(x)), \quad (1.2)$$

where ι_{x, x_0} is the natural embedding of the field $\mathbb{C}(x, x_0)$ of rational functions into $\mathbb{C}((x))((x_0))$. Roughly speaking, $\alpha(x)_n \beta(x)$ were defined by the operator product expansion of $\alpha(x_1) \beta(x_2)$. These are the operations we use in this paper. (Note that the new definition agrees with the old one when $\alpha(x), \beta(x)$ are mutually local.)

We then prove that for any quasi compatible subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, there exists a (unique) smallest closed quasi compatible subspace $\langle U \rangle$ containing U and 1_W , such that $(\langle U \rangle, Y_{\mathcal{E}}, 1_W)$

is a nonlocal vertex algebra, and furthermore, W is naturally a quasi module (defined in Section 2) for the nonlocal vertex algebra with $Y_W(a(x), x_0) = a(x_0)$. We also show that if U is pseudo-local, $\langle U \rangle$ is also pseudo-local. Thus, in addition that W is a faithful quasi $\langle U \rangle$ -module, $\{Y_W(\alpha(x), x_0) \mid \alpha(x) \in \langle U \rangle\}$ is pseudo-local. This naturally leads us to a notion of pseudo-local quasi module for a general nonlocal vertex algebra. In terms of this notion, we have that for any vector space W and for any pseudo-local subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, W is a faithful pseudo-local quasi $\langle U \rangle$ -module. In particular, taking W to be a highest weight $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module, we have a nonlocal vertex algebra V_W generated by the generating functions of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ with W as a faithful pseudo-local quasi module.

Furthermore, we prove that if V is a general nonlocal vertex algebra and if (W, Y_W) is a pseudo-local quasi V -module satisfying a certain condition, then the pseudo-locality of the subspace $\{Y_W(v, x) \mid v \in V\}$ of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ uniquely defines a quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)$ on V by

$$\mathcal{S}(x, y)(v \otimes u) = \sum_{i=1}^r v^{(i)} \otimes u^{(i)} \otimes f_i(x, y) \in V \otimes V \otimes \mathbb{C}_*(x, y)$$

for $u, v \in V$, if

$$p(x_1, x_2)Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r p(x_1, x_2)\iota_{x_2, x_1}(f_i(x_2, x_1))Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1)$$

for some $0 \neq p(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$, where $\mathbb{C}_*(x, y) = \{g/h \mid g \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]], 0 \neq h \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]\}$. By definition a quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)$ satisfies the quantum Yang-Baxter equation

$$\mathcal{S}_{12}(x_1, x_2)\mathcal{S}_{13}(x_1, x_3)\mathcal{S}_{23}(x_2, x_3) = \mathcal{S}_{23}(x_2, x_3)\mathcal{S}_{13}(x_1, x_3)\mathcal{S}_{12}(x_1, x_2).$$

This naturally leads us to a notion of $\mathcal{S}$ -quasi V -module with respect to a quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)$ on V . (Recall that twisted modules for a vertex algebra V are associated with finite order automorphisms of V .)

What we expect is that if W is the basic highest weight module constructed in [FJ] for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, W is an $\mathcal{S}$ -quasi module for the associated nonlocal vertex algebra V_W with respect to a (uniquely determined) quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)$. To establish this we need to find an explicit characterization of on the nonlocal vertex algebra V_W . Recall that in the case with (untwisted) affine Lie algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, the associated vertex algebras are naturally highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules so that they can be easily determined. In the case with $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, on the associated nonlocal vertex algebra V_W the natural “adjoint” action does not give rise to a $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module structure and the structure of V_W is still to be determined. To a certain extent, this reflects the view point of [FR] that the space of states and the space of fields should be different in the quantum case. On the other hand, it is philosophically important to note that this seemingly new phenomenon is rather similar to what happened in the study of twisted modules and quasi modules for an ordinary vertex algebra as we mention next.

For a general vertex (operator) algebra V , in addition to the notion of V -module, we have the notion of σ -twisted V -module, where σ is an automorphism of V of finite order. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and let σ be an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ of finite order r . We have the twisted affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma] = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \mathfrak{g}_i \otimes t^{-\frac{i}{r}} \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ (see [K1], [FLM]). It was proved in [Li3] that for any highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ -module W of level ℓ , the canonical generating functions of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$ on W , as elements of $\text{Hom}(W, W((x^{1/r})))$, generate a vertex algebra V_W with W as a σ -twisted module and that V_W is naturally a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of level ℓ . (There does not exist a notion of twisted vertex algebra.) That is, even though W is a module for the *twisted* affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}[\sigma]$, the generated vertex algebra V_W is a module for the *untwisted* affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

In [Li5], to associate vertex algebras to certain infinite-dimensional Lie algebras we studied “quasi local” subsets of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ for any given vector space W and we proved that any quasi local subset generates in a certain natural way a vertex algebra with W as a “quasi module.” As an application of this general result we successfully associated vertex algebras in terms of quasi modules to certain quantum torus Lie algebras. For a suitably defined restricted module W for the quantum torus Lie algebras, the canonical generating functions generate a vertex algebra V_W with W as a quasi module, while the vertex algebra V_W is a module for a *new* Lie algebra, rather than a module for the quantum torus Lie algebras.

These phenomena indicate that for a highest weight $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module W , the nonlocal vertex algebra V_W generated by the generating functions should be a module for some *new* algebra. It would be very interesting if this “new” algebra turns out to be a new quantum algebra. This will be investigated in a sequel. Then we shall have a complete solution to the problem mentioned in the first paragraph.

While working on the structure of the nonlocal vertex algebra $\langle U \rangle$ for a pseudo-local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, we find that if U is of a very special type, $\langle U \rangle$ very much resembles a quantum vertex operator algebra in the sense of [EK]. This leads us to notions of $\mathcal{S}$ -locality and weak quantum vertex algebras. A subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is said to be $\mathcal{S}$ -local if for any $a(x), b(x) \in U$, there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$ and $u_1(x), v_1(x), \dots, u_r(x), v_r(x) \in U$ such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k a(x_1) b(x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r (x_1 - x_2)^{k_i} \iota_{x_2, x_1}(f_i(x_2 - x_1)) u_i(x_2) v_i(x_1).$$

A weak quantum vertex algebra is defined to be a nonlocal vertex algebra V such that $\{Y(v, x) \mid v \in V\}$ is an $\mathcal{S}$ -local subspace of $\mathcal{E}(V)$. We prove that for any $\mathcal{S}$ -local subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, $\langle U \rangle$ is a weak quantum vertex algebra with W as a module instead of a quasi module. Furthermore, motivated by the notion of quantum vertex operator algebra in [EK] we define a quantum vertex algebra to be a weak quantum vertex algebra V equipped with a rational quantum Yang-Baxter $\mathcal{S} : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V \otimes \mathbb{C}(x)$, which by definition satisfies the rational quantum Yang-Baxter equation

$$\mathcal{S}_{12}(x) \mathcal{S}_{13}(x + z) \mathcal{S}_{23}(z) = \mathcal{S}_{23}(z) \mathcal{S}_{13}(x + z) \mathcal{S}_{12}(x),$$

satisfying the condition that for $a, b \in V$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y(a, x_1) Y(b, x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r (x_1 - x_2)^k \iota_{x_2, x_1}(\psi_i(x_2 - x_1)) Y(u_i, x_2) Y(v_i, x_1),$$

where $\mathcal{S}(x)(b \otimes a) = \sum u_i \otimes v_i \otimes \psi_i(x)$. We prove that if a weak quantum vertex algebra V is nondegenerate in the sense of [EK], then the $\mathcal{S}$ -locality uniquely defines a unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}$ such that V equipped with $\mathcal{S}$ is a quantum vertex algebra.

The main results of this paper were reported in the conference “Perspectives arising from vertex algebras,” Osaka, Japan, November 7-12, 2004. We would like to thank Professors Nagatomo and Yamada for their hospitality.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we study quasi compatible subsets of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ and prove that any maximal quasi compatible subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is naturally a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a quasi module. In Section 3, we study pseudo-local subsets and $\mathcal{S}$ -local subsets of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. In Section 4, we study pseudo-local quasi modules and $\mathcal{S}$ -quasi modules for nonlocal vertex algebras. In Section 5, we study (weak) quantum vertex algebras. In Section 6, we give some general construction results and examples.

2 Constructing nonlocal vertex algebras from quasi compatible subsets of $\mathcal{E}(W)$

In this section after recalling the notion of nonlocal vertex algebra and defining a notion of quasi module, we study what we call quasi compatible subsets of $\text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$ for any vector space W . We prove that any maximal quasi compatible subspace is naturally a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a faithful quasi module and that any quasi compatible subset generates a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a faithful quasi module.

First, throughout this paper, $x, y, z, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$ are mutually commuting independent formal variables. Vector spaces are considered to be over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. We use all the standard formal variable notations and conventions. For example, $U[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]]$ is the space of (infinite) nonnegative integral power series in $x_1, \dots, x_r$ with coefficients in U and $U((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r))$ is the space of lower truncated (infinite) integral power series in $x_1, \dots, x_r$ with coefficients in U , i.e.,

$$U((x_1, \dots, x_r)) = U[[x_1, \dots, x_r]][x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_r^{-1}]. \quad (2.1)$$

Clearly,

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r] \subset \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_r]] \subset \mathbb{C}((x_1, \dots, x_r)) \subset \mathbb{C}((x_1))((x_2)) \cdots ((x_r)). \quad (2.2)$$

Note that $\mathbb{C}((x_1))$, $\mathbb{C}((x_1))((x_2))$, $\dots$, $\mathbb{C}((x_1))((x_2)) \cdots ((x_r))$ are fields.

Denote by $\mathbb{C}_*(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ the localization of $\mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$ at $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]^\times$ (the nonzero polynomials):

$$\mathbb{C}_*(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \left\{ \frac{f}{p} \mid f \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_r]], p \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]^\times \right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

The field $\mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ of rational functions, i.e., the fraction field of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$, is a subring of $\mathbb{C}_*(x_1, \dots, x_r)$. Since $\mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$ is a subring of $\mathbb{C}((x_1))((x_2)) \cdots ((x_r))$, there exists a unique embedding of the ring $\mathbb{C}_*(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ into the field $\mathbb{C}((x_1))((x_2)) \cdots ((x_r))$. Denote this embedding by $\iota_{x_1, \dots, x_r}$:

$$\iota_{x_1, \dots, x_r} : \mathbb{C}_*(x_1, \dots, x_r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((x_1))((x_2)) \cdots ((x_r)). \quad (2.4)$$

Similarly, for any permutation σ on $\{1, \dots, r\}$, there exists a unique embedding

$$\iota_{x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(r)}} : \mathbb{C}_*(x_1, \dots, x_r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((x_{\sigma(1)})) \cdots ((x_{\sigma(r)})). \quad (2.5)$$

Notice that partial differential operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ act on both the domains and codomains and they commute with the iota-maps. Furthermore, all the iota-maps are $\mathbb{C}((x_1, \dots, x_r))$ -homomorphisms and they are identity on $\mathbb{C}((x_1, \dots, x_r))$.

These generalized iota maps, defined in [Li5], generalize the usual iota maps introduced in [FLM], [FHL] and [LL]. For example, for any nonzero complex number α and for any integer n , we have

$$\iota_{x_1, x_2}(x_1 - \alpha x_2)^n = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n}{i} (-\alpha)^i x_1^{n-i} x_2^i = (x_1 - \alpha x_2)^n, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\iota_{x_2, x_1}(x_1 - \alpha x_2)^n = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n}{i} (-\alpha)^{n-i} x_2^{n-i} x_1^i = (-\alpha x_2 + x_1)^n, \quad (2.7)$$

where we are using the usual binomial series expansion conventions.

In formal calculus ([FLM], [FHL], [LL]), associativity and cancelation for products of formal series are subtle issues. Let A be an associative algebra with identity (over $\mathbb{C}$) and let U be an A -module. Let

$$F, G \in A[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]], \quad H \in U[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]].$$

The associativity $F(GH) = (FG)H$ does not hold in general and on the other hand, it does hold if all the products GH , FG and FGH exist. As in associative algebra theory, associativity sometimes leads to cancelation. The following are some (simple) useful facts:

Lemma 2.1. *Let U be any vector space. (a) Let $H(x_1, x_2), K(x_1, x_2) \in U((x_1))((x_2))$. If*

$$f(x_1, x_2)H(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2)K(x_1, x_2) \quad (2.8)$$

for some $0 \neq f(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}((x_1))((x_2))$, then $H(x_1, x_2) = K(x_1, x_2)$.

(b) Let $H_1 \in U((x))((x_1))((x_2))$ and $H_2 \in U((x))((x_2))((x_1))$. If there exists a (nonzero) polynomial $g(x, x_1, x_2)$ with $g(x, 0, 0) \neq 0$ such that

$$g(x, x_1, x_2)H_1 = g(x, x_1, x_2)H_2,$$

then $H_1 = H_2$.

Proof. Part (a) was proved in [Li5]. For (b), since $g(x, 0, 0) \neq 0$, we have

$$\iota_{x,x_1,x_2}(1/g(x, x_1, x_2)) = \iota_{x,x_2,x_1}(1/g(x, x_1, x_2)) \in \mathbb{C}((x))[[x_1, x_2]].$$

Multiplying both sides of the given identity by $\iota_{x,x_1,x_2}(1/g(x, x_1, x_2))$ we get $H_1 = H_2$. $\square$

We shall often use the following fact which was proved in [Li4] (cf. [FHL], [LL]):

Lemma 2.2. *Let U be a vector space and let*

$$A(x_1, x_2) \in U((x_1))((x_2)), \quad (2.9)$$

$$B(x_1, x_2) \in U((x_2))((x_1)), \quad (2.10)$$

$$C(x_0, x_2) \in U((x_2))((x_0)). \quad (2.11)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) A(x_1, x_2) - x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) B(x_1, x_2) \\ &= x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1} \right) C(x_0, x_2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

if and only if there exist nonnegative integers k and l such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k A(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k B(x_1, x_2), \quad (2.13)$$

$$(x_0 + x_2)^l A(x_0 + x_2, x_2) = (x_0 + x_2)^l C(x_0, x_2). \quad (2.14)$$

We now recall from [Li4] the notion of nonlocal vertex algebra (cf. [BK]):

Definition 2.3. A *nonlocal vertex algebra* is a vector space V equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\cdot, x) : V &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V((x))) \subset (\text{End } V)[[x, x^{-1}]] \\ v &\mapsto Y(v, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1} \quad (v_n \in \text{End } V), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

or equivalently, a linear map from $V \otimes V$ to $V((x))$, and equipped with a distinguished vector $\mathbf{1}$ such that for $v \in V$

$$Y(\mathbf{1}, x)v = v, \quad (2.16)$$

$$Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} \in V[[x]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} = v \quad (2.17)$$

and such that for $u, v, w \in V$, there exists a nonnegative integer l such that

$$(x_0 + x_2)^l Y(u, x_0 + x_2)Y(v, x_2)w = (x_0 + x_2)^l Y(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w. \quad (2.18)$$

Remark 2.4. Note that the notion of nonlocal vertex algebra is exactly the same as the notion of weak axiomatic G_1 -vertex algebra studied in [Li4]. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that the notion of nonlocal vertex algebra is equivalent to the notion of field algebra studied in [BK] (cf. [K2]).

The following was proved in [Li4]:

Lemma 2.5. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra. Define $\mathcal{D} \in \text{End } {}_{\mathbb{C}}V$ by*

$$\mathcal{D}(v) = \left(\frac{d}{dx} Y(v, x) \mathbf{1} \right) |_{x=0} (= v_{-2} \mathbf{1}) \quad \text{for } v \in V. \quad (2.19)$$

Then

$$[\mathcal{D}, Y(v, x)] = Y(\mathcal{D}(v), x) = \frac{d}{dx} Y(v, x) \quad \text{for } v \in V. \quad (2.20)$$

Furthermore, for $v \in V$,

$$e^{x\mathcal{D}} Y(v, x_1) e^{-x\mathcal{D}} = Y(e^{x\mathcal{D}} v, x_1) = Y(v, x_1 + x), \quad (2.21)$$

$$Y(v, x) \mathbf{1} = e^{x\mathcal{D}} v. \quad (2.22)$$

Let S be a subset of a nonlocal vertex algebra V . Denote by $\langle S \rangle$ the *subalgebra of V generated by S* , which by definition is the smallest subalgebra of V , containing S . From [Li4] we have:

Lemma 2.6. *For any subset S of V , the subalgebra $\langle S \rangle$ generated by S is linearly spanned by the vectors*

$$u_{n_1}^{(1)} \cdots u_{n_r}^{(r)} \mathbf{1} \quad (2.23)$$

for $r \geq 0$, $u^{(i)} \in S$, $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.7. A V -module is a vector space W equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Y_W(\cdot, x) : V &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(W, W((x))) \subset (\text{End } W)[[x, x^{-1}]] \\ v &\mapsto Y_W(v, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1} \quad (v_n \in \text{End } W) \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

such that all the following axioms hold:

$$Y_W(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1_W \quad (\text{where } 1_W \text{ is the identity operator on } W), \quad (2.25)$$

and for any $u, v \in V$, $w \in W$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(x_0 + x_2)^l Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2) w = (x_0 + x_2)^l Y_W(Y(u, x_0) v, x_2) w. \quad (2.26)$$

We extend the notion of quasi module defined in [Li5] for a vertex algebra as follows:

Definition 2.8. Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra. A *quasi V -module* is a vector space W equipped with a linear map Y_W from V to $\text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$ such that

$$Y_W(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1_W \quad (2.27)$$

and such that for $u, v \in V$, $w \in W$, there exists a nonzero polynomial $f(x_1, x_2)$ such that

$$f(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2) w = f(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(Y(u, x_0) v, x_2) w. \quad (2.28)$$

Slightly modifying the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [Li4] we have:

Lemma 2.9. *Let (W, Y_W) be a quasi module for a nonlocal vertex algebra V . Then*

$$Y_W(\mathcal{D}v, x) = \frac{d}{dx} Y_W(v, x) \quad \text{for } v \in V. \quad (2.29)$$

From now on (for the rest of this section), let W be a fixed general vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Set

$$\mathcal{E}(W) = \text{Hom}(W, W((x))). \quad (2.30)$$

The formal differential operator is a linear operator on $\mathcal{E}(W)$, which we denote by D :

$$D = \frac{d}{dx}. \quad (2.31)$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{C}((x))$ naturally acts on $\mathcal{E}(W)$ and so does the Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}((x))D$.

We consider the following action of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^\times$ of nonzero complex numbers on $\mathcal{E}(W)$:

$$R_\alpha a(x) = a(\alpha x) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times, a(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W). \quad (2.32)$$

The following notion generalizes the notions of compatibility in [Li4] and [Li5]:

Definition 2.10. An (ordered) sequence $(\psi^{(1)}, \dots, \psi^{(r)})$ in $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is said to be *quasi compatible* if there exists a nonzero polynomials $p(x, y)$ such that

$$\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} p(x_i, x_j) \right) \psi^{(1)}(x_1) \cdots \psi^{(r)}(x_r) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, \dots, x_r))). \quad (2.33)$$

A set or a subspace S of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is said to be *quasi compatible* if any finite sequence in S is quasi compatible.

The following partial operations on $\mathcal{E}(W)$ were defined in [Li5]:

Definition 2.11. Let $(a(x), b(x))$ be a quasi compatible (ordered) pair in $\mathcal{E}(W)$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $a(x)_{(\alpha, n)} b(x) \in (\text{End } W)[[x, x^{-1}]]$ in terms of generating function

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(a(x), x_0) b(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a(x)_{(\alpha, n)} b(x)) x_0^{-n-1} \in (\text{End } W)[[x_0^{\pm 1}, x^{\pm 1}]] \quad (2.34)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(a(x), x_0) b(x) \\ &= \text{Res}_{x_1} \iota_{x, x_0} (p(x_0 + \alpha x, x)^{-1}) x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{\alpha x + x_0}{x_1} \right) (p(x_1, x) a(x_1) b(x)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

$$= \iota_{x, x_0} (p(x_0 + \alpha x, x)^{-1}) (p(x_1, x) a(x_1) b(x)) |_{x_1 = \alpha x + x_0}, \quad (2.36)$$

where $p(x_1, x_2)$ is any nonzero polynomial such that

$$p(x_1, x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_2))).$$

We particularly set

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x) = Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(1)}(a(x), x_0)b(x), \quad (2.37)$$

$$a(x)_nb(x) = a(x)_{(1,n)}b(x) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.38)$$

It was proved in [Li5] that $Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(a(x), x_0)b(x)$ is well defined, that is, the expression exists and is independent of the choice of the polynomial $p(x_1, x_2)$. Furthermore, the following Propositions 2.12-2.14 were also proved:

Proposition 2.12. *Let $(a(x), b(x))$ be a quasi compatible (ordered) pair in $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Then*

$$a(x)_{(\alpha,n)}b(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W) (= \text{Hom}(W, W((x)))) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.39)$$

Let $p(x_1, x_2)$ be any nonzero polynomial such that

$$p(x_1, x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_2))) \quad (2.40)$$

and let k be an integer such that

$$x_0^k \iota_{x_2, x_0} (p(x_0 + \alpha x_2, x_2)^{-1}) \in \mathbb{C}((x_2))[[x_0]].$$

Then

$$a(x)_{(\alpha,n)}b(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq k. \quad (2.41)$$

Furthermore, for $w \in W$, let l be a nonnegative integer depending on w such that

$$x_1^l p(x_1, x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2)w \in W[[x_1, x_2]][x_2^{-1}].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_0 + \alpha x_2)^l p(x_0 + \alpha x_2, x_2)(Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(a(x_2), x_0)b(x_2))w \\ &= (x_0 + \alpha x_2)^l p(x_0 + \alpha x_2, x_2)a(x_0 + \alpha x_2)b(x_2)w. \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

Proposition 2.13. *For any $a(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W)$, the sequences $(1_W, a(x))$ and $(a(x), 1_W)$ are quasi compatible and for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ we have*

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(1_W, x_0)a(x) = a(x), \quad (2.43)$$

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(a(x), x_0)1_W = a(\alpha x + x_0) = e^{\alpha^{-1}x_0 \frac{d}{dx}} a(\alpha x) = e^{\alpha^{-1}x_0 \frac{d}{dx}} R_\alpha a(x). \quad (2.44)$$

In particular,

$$a(x)_{(\alpha,-1)}1_W = R_\alpha a(x), \quad (2.45)$$

$$a(x)_{-2}1_W (= a(x)_{(1,-2)}1_W) = \frac{d}{dx}a(x) = Da(x). \quad (2.46)$$

Proposition 2.14. *Let $(a(x), b(x))$ be a quasi compatible (ordered) pair in $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Then the ordered pairs $(a'(x), b(x))$, $(a(x), b'(x))$ and $(a(\alpha x), b(\beta x))$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ are quasi compatible. Furthermore, we have*

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(Da(x), x_0)b(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(a(x), x_0)b(x), \quad (2.47)$$

$$DY_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(a(x), x_0)b(x) - Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(a(x), x_0)Db(x) = \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(a(x), x_0)b(x), \quad (2.48)$$

$$R_{\alpha} Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\beta)}(a(x), x_0)b(x) = Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha\beta)}(a(x), x_0)R_{\alpha}b(x), \quad (2.49)$$

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(R_{\beta}a(x), \beta^{-1}x_0)b(x) = Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha\beta)}(a(x), x_0)b(x), \quad (2.50)$$

In particular,

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(a(x), x_0)b(x) = R_{\alpha} Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)R_{\alpha^{-1}}b(x) = Y_{\mathcal{E}}(R_{\alpha}a(x), \alpha^{-1}x_0). \quad (2.51)$$

We shall need the following technical result:

Lemma 2.15. *Let $(a_i(x), b_i(x))$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be quasi compatible ordered pairs in $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Suppose that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(z, x) a_i(z) b_i(x) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((z, x))) \quad (2.52)$$

for some $g_1(z, x), \dots, g_n(z, x) \in \mathbb{C}[z, x]$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x + x_0, x) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a_i(x), x_0) b_i(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(z, x) a_i(z) b_i(x) \right) \Big|_{z=x+x_0}. \quad (2.53)$$

Proof. Let $0 \neq g(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ be such that

$$g(z, x) a_i(z) b_i(x) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((z, x))) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

From Definition 2.11, we have

$$g(x + x_0, x) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a_i(x), x_0) b_i(x) = (g(z, x) a_i(z) b_i(x)) \Big|_{z=x+x_0}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then using (2.52) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g(x + x_0, x) \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x + x_0, x) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a_i(x), x_0) b_i(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x + x_0, x) (g(z, x) a_i(z) b_i(x)) \Big|_{z=x+x_0} \\ &= \left(g(z, x) \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(z, x) a_i(z) b_i(x) \right) \Big|_{z=x+x_0} \\ &= g(x + x_0, x) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(z, x) a_i(z) b_i(x) \right) \Big|_{z=x+x_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

By (2.41) we have $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x+x_0, x)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a_i(x), x_0)b_i(x) \in (\text{Hom}(W, W((x)))((x_0)))$. Now it follows immediately from the cancelation rule (Lemma 2.1). $\square$

Let U be a subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ such that every ordered pair in U is quasi compatible. We say U is *closed* if

$$a(x)_n b(x) \in U \quad \text{for } a(x), b(x) \in U, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.55)$$

We are going to prove that any closed quasi compatible subspace containing 1_W of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra and that any maximal quasi compatible subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is closed and is a nonlocal vertex algebra. First we have:

Lemma 2.16. *Assume that V is a subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ such that any sequence in V of length 2 or 3 is quasi compatible and such that V is closed. Let $\psi(x), \phi(x), \theta(x) \in V$ and let $f(x, y)$ be a nonzero polynomial such that*

$$f(x, y)\phi(x)\theta(y) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x, y))), \quad (2.56)$$

$$f(x, y)f(x, z)f(y, z)\psi(x)\phi(y)\theta(z) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x, y, z))). \quad (2.57)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+x_1, x)f(x+x_2, x)f(x+x_1, x+x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x) \\ &= (f(y, x)f(z, x)f(y, z)\psi(y)\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{y=x+x_1, z=x+x_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.58)$$

Proof. With (2.56), from Definition 2.11 we have

$$f(x+x_2, x)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x) = (f(z, x)\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{z=x+x_2}, \quad (2.59)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & f(y, x)f(y, x+x_2)f(x+x_2, x)\psi(y)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x) \\ &= (f(y, x)f(y, z)f(z, x)\psi(y)\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{z=x+x_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.60)$$

From (2.57) we see that the expression on the right-hand side lies in $(\text{Hom}(W, W((y, x)))[[x_2]])$, so does the expression on the left-hand side. That is,

$$f(y, x)f(y, x+x_2)f(x+x_2, x)\psi(y)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x) \in (\text{Hom}(W, W((y, x)))[[x_2]]). \quad (2.61)$$

Multiplying by $\iota_{x, x_2}(f(x+x_2, x)^{-1})$, which lies in $\mathbb{C}((x))((x_2)) \subset \mathbb{C}((y, x))((x_2))$, we have

$$f(y, x)f(y, x+x_2)\psi(y)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x) \in (\text{Hom}(W, W((y, x))((x_2)))). \quad (2.62)$$

In view of Lemma 2.15, by considering the coefficient of each power of x_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x+x_1, x)f(x+x_1, x+x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x) \\ &= (f(y, x)f(y, x+x_2)\psi(y)(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x))|_{y=x+x_1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.63)$$

Using this and (2.59) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(x+x_2, x)f(x+x_1, x)f(x+x_1, x+x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x) \\
&= (f(x+x_2, x)f(y, x)f(y, x+x_2)\psi(y)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x))|_{y=x+x_1} \\
&= (f(z, x)f(y, x)f(y, z)\psi(y)\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{y=x+x_1, z=x+x_2},
\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. $\square$

Here we make a new notation for our convenience in the proof of the following theorem. For any formal series $a(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n x^{-n-1}$ (with coefficients a_n in any vector space) and for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$a(x)_{\geq m} = \sum_{n \geq m} a_n x^{-n-1}. \quad (2.64)$$

Then for any polynomial $q(x)$ we have

$$\text{Res}_x x^m q(x) a(x) = \text{Res}_x x^m q(x) a(x)_{\geq m}. \quad (2.65)$$

Now we are in a position to prove our first key result:

Theorem 2.17. *Let V be a subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ such that any sequence in V of length 2 or 3 is quasi compatible and such that V contains 1_W and is closed. Then $(V, Y_{\mathcal{E}}, 1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a faithful quasi module where the vertex operator map Y_W is given by $Y_W(\alpha(x), x_0) = \alpha(x_0)$.*

Proof. For the assertion on the nonlocal vertex algebra structure, with Proposition 2.12, it remains to prove the weak associativity, i.e., for $\psi, \phi, \theta \in V$, there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$(x_0 + x_2)^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi, x_0 + x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi, x_2) \theta = (x_0 + x_2)^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi, x_0) \phi, x_2) \theta. \quad (2.66)$$

Let $f(x, y)$ be a nonzero polynomial such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(x, y)\psi(x)\phi(y) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x, y))), \\
& f(x, y)\phi(x)\theta(y) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x, y))), \\
& f(x, y)f(x, z)f(y, z)\psi(x)\phi(y)\theta(z) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x, y, z))).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.16, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(x+x_2, x)f(x+x_0+x_2, x)f(x+x_0+x_2, x+x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_0+x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x) \\
&= (f(z, x)f(y, x)f(y, z)\psi(y)\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{y=x+x_0+x_2, z=x+x_2}.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.67)$$

On the other hand, let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be arbitrarily fixed. Since $\psi(x)_m \phi(x) = 0$ for m sufficiently large, there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x, y)$, depending on n , such that

$$p(x+x_2, x)(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x)_m \phi(x), x_2)\theta(x) = (p(z, x)(\psi(z)_m \phi(z))\theta(x))|_{z=x+x_2} \quad (2.68)$$

for all $m \geq n$. With $f(x, y)\psi(x)\phi(y) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x, y)))$, from Definition 2.11 we have

$$f(x_2 + x_0, x_2)(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x_2), x_0)\phi(x_2))\theta(x) = (f(y, x_2)\psi(y)\phi(x_2)\theta(x))|_{y=x_2+x_0}. \quad (2.69)$$

Using (2.65), (2.68) and (2.69) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n f(x + x_0 + x_2, x) f(x + x_0 + x_2, x + x_2) p(x + x_2, x) \\ & \quad \cdot Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_0)\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x) \\ = & \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n f(x + x_0 + x_2, x) f(x + x_0 + x_2, x + x_2) p(x + x_2, x) \\ & \quad \cdot Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_0)_{\geq n}\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x) \\ = & \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n f(x + x_0 + x_2, x) f(x + x_0 + x_2, x + x_2) \\ & \quad \cdot (p(z, x)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(z), x_0)_{\geq n}\phi(z))\theta(x)|_{z=x+x_2} \\ = & \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n f(x + x_0 + x_2, x) f(x + x_0 + x_2, x + x_2) \\ & \quad \cdot (p(z, x)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(z), x_0)\phi(z))\theta(x)|_{z=x+x_2} \\ = & \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n (f(z + x_0, x)f(z + x_0, z)p(z, x)(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(z), x_0)\phi(z))\theta(x))|_{z=x+x_2} \\ = & \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n (f(y, x)f(y, z)p(z, x)\psi(y)\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{y=z+x_0, z=x+x_2} \\ = & \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n (f(y, x)f(y, z)p(z, x)\psi(y)\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{y=x+x_2+x_0, z=x+x_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.70)$$

Combining (2.70) with (2.67) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n f(x + x_2, x) f(x + x_0 + x_2, x) f(x + x_0 + x_2, x + x_2) \\ & \quad \cdot p(x + x_2, x)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_0 + x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x) \\ = & \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n f(x_2 + x, x) f(x + x_0 + x_2, x) f(x + x_0 + x_2, x + x_2) \\ & \quad \cdot p(x + x_2, x)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_0)\phi(x), x_2)\theta(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.71)$$

Notice that both sides of (2.71) involve only finitely many negative powers of x_2 . Then multiplying both sides by $\iota_{x, x_2} p(x + x_2, x)^{-1} f(x + x_2, x)^{-1}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n f(x + x_0 + x_2, x) f(x + x_0 + x_2, x + x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_0 + x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2) \theta(x) \\ = & \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n f(x + x_0 + x_2, x) f(x + x_0 + x_2, x + x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_0)\phi(x), x_2) \theta(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(x, y)$ does not depend on n and since n is arbitrary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x + x_0 + x_2, x) f(x + x_0 + x_2, x + x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_0 + x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2) \theta(x) \\ = & f(x + x_0 + x_2, x) f(x + x_0 + x_2, x + x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_0)\phi(x), x_2) \theta(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.72)$$

Write $f(x, y) = (x - y)^k g(x, y)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $g(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ with $g(x, x) \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + x_0 + x_2, x) &= (x_0 + x_2)^k g(x + x_0 + x_2, x), \\ f(x + x_0 + x_2, x + x_2) &= x_0^k g(x + x_0 + x_2, x + x_2). \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 2.1 (b) we obtain

$$(x_0 + x_2)^k (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_0 + x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x), x_2) \theta(x)) = (x_0 + x_2)^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x), x_0)\phi(x), x_2) \theta(x),$$

as desired.

For $a(x), b(x) \in V$, $w \in W$, in view of Proposition 2.12 there exist a nonzero polynomial $h(x, y)$ and a nonnegative integer l such that

$$(x_0 + x_2)^l h(x_0 + x_2, x_2) (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0) b(x))(x_2) w = (x_0 + x_2)^l h(x_0 + x_2, x_2) a(x_0 + x_2) b(x_2) w.$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_0 + x_2)^l h(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0) b(x), x_2) w \\ &= (x_0 + x_2)^l h(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(a(x), x_0 + x_2) Y_W(b(x), x_2) w. \end{aligned} \quad (2.73)$$

Therefore W is a quasi V -module with $Y_W(\alpha(x), x_0) = \alpha(x_0)$ for $\alpha(x) \in V$. $\square$

Next we are going to prove that any quasi compatible subset S of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ gives rise to a nonlocal vertex algebra. To achieve this goal, we shall need the following key result:

Proposition 2.18. *Let $\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_r(x), a(x), b(x), \phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_s(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W)$. Assume that the ordered sequences $(a(x), b(x))$ and $(\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_r(x), a(x), b(x), \phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_s(x))$ are quasi compatible. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the ordered sequence*

$$(\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_r(x), a(x)_n b(x), \phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_s(x))$$

is quasi compatible.

Proof. Let $f(x, y)$ be a nonzero polynomial such that

$$f(x_1, x_2) a(x_1) b(x_2) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_2)))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} f(y_i, y_j) \right) \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s} f(y_i, z_j) \right) \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} f(z_i, z_j) \right) \\ & \cdot f(x_1, x_2) \left(\prod_{i=1}^r f(x_1, y_i) f(x_2, y_i) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^s f(x_1, z_i) f(x_2, z_i) \right) \\ & \cdot \psi_1(y_1) \cdots \psi_r(y_r) a(x_1) b(x_2) \phi_1(z_1) \cdots \phi_s(z_s) \\ & \in \text{Hom}(W, W((y_1, \dots, y_r, x_1, x_2, z_1, \dots, z_s))). \end{aligned} \quad (2.74)$$

Set

$$P = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} f(y_i, y_j), \quad Q = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} f(z_i, z_j), \quad R = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s} f(y_i, z_j).$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be arbitrarily fixed. There exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$x_0^{k+n} \iota_{x_2, x_0} (f(x_0 + x_2, x_2)^{-1}) \in \mathbb{C}((x_2))[[x_0]]. \quad (2.75)$$

Using (2.75) and Definition 2.11 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^r f(x_2, y_i)^k \prod_{j=1}^s f(x_2, z_j)^k \\
& \quad \cdot \psi_1(y_1) \cdots \psi_r(y_r) (a(x)_n b(x))(x_2) \phi_1(z_1) \cdots \phi_s(z_s) \\
= & \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n \prod_{i=1}^r f(x_2, y_i)^k \prod_{j=1}^s f(x_2, z_j)^k \\
& \quad \cdot \psi_1(y_1) \cdots \psi_r(y_r) (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a, x_0) b)(x_2) \phi_1(z_1) \cdots \phi_s(z_s) \\
= & \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n \prod_{i=1}^r f(x_2, y_i)^k \prod_{j=1}^s f(x_2, z_j)^k \\
& \quad \cdot \iota_{x_2, x_0} (f(x_2 + x_0, x_2)^{-1}) x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1} \right) \\
& \quad \cdot (f(x_1, x_2) \psi_1(y_1) \cdots \psi_r(y_r) a(x_1) b(x_2) \phi_1(z_1) \cdots \phi_s(z_s)) \\
= & \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n \prod_{i=1}^r f(x_1 - x_0, y_i)^k \prod_{j=1}^s f(x_1 - x_0, z_j)^k \\
& \quad \cdot \iota_{x_2, x_0} (f(x_2 + x_0, x_2)^{-1}) x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1} \right) \\
& \quad \cdot (f(x_1, x_2) \psi_1(y_1) \cdots \psi_r(y_r) a(x_1) b(x_2) \phi_1(z_1) \cdots \phi_s(z_s)) \\
= & \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n e^{-x_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r f(x_1, y_i) \prod_{j=1}^s f(x_1, z_j) \right)^k \\
& \quad \cdot \iota_{x_2, x_0} (f(x_2 + x_0, x_2)^{-1}) x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1} \right) \\
& \quad \cdot (f(x_1, x_2) \psi_1(y_1) \cdots \psi_r(y_r) a(x_1) b(x_2) \phi_1(z_1) \cdots \phi_s(z_s)) \\
= & \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^t}{t!} x_0^{n+t} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^t \left(\prod_{i=1}^r f(x_1, y_i) \prod_{j=1}^s f(x_1, z_j) \right)^k \\
& \quad \cdot \iota_{x_2, x_0} (f(x_2 + x_0, x_2)^{-1}) x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1} \right) \\
& \quad \cdot (f(x_1, x_2) \psi_1(y_1) \cdots \psi_r(y_r) a(x_1) b(x_2) \phi_1(z_1) \cdots \phi_s(z_s)). \tag{2.76}
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that for any polynomial B and for $0 \leq t \leq k-1$, $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^t B^k$ is a multiple of B .

Using (2.74) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& PQR \prod_{i=1}^r f(x_2, y_i) \prod_{j=1}^s f(x_2, z_j) \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^t}{t!} x_0^{n+t} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^t \left(\prod_{i=1}^r f(x_1, y_i) \prod_{j=1}^s f(x_1, z_j) \right)^k \\
& \quad \cdot t_{x_2, x_0} (f(x_2 + x_0, x_2)^{-1}) x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1} \right) \\
& \quad \cdot (f(x_1, x_2) \psi_1(y_1) \cdots \psi_r(y_r) a(x_1) b(x_2) \phi_1(z_1) \cdots \phi_s(z_s)) \\
& \in (\text{Hom}(W, W((y_1, \dots, y_r, x_2, z_1, \dots, z_s))) ((x_0)) [[x_1^{\pm 1}]]).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& PQR \prod_{i=1}^r f(x_2, y_i)^{k+1} \prod_{j=1}^s f(x_2, z_j)^{k+1} \psi_1(y_1) \cdots \psi_r(y_r) (a(x)_n b(x)) (x_2) \phi_1(z_1) \cdots \phi_s(z_s) \\
& \in \text{Hom}(W, W((y_1, \dots, y_r, x_2, z_1, \dots, z_s))). \tag{2.77}
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that the sequence $(\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_r(x), a(x)_n b(x), \phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_s(x))$ is quasi compatible. $\square$

Now we have:

Theorem 2.19. *Let V be a maximal quasi compatible subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Then V contains 1_W and is closed and $(V, Y_{\mathcal{E}}, 1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a faithful quasi module where the vertex operator map Y_W is given by $Y_W(\psi(x), x_0) = \psi(x_0)$. Furthermore, for $a(x), b(x) \in V$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$R_\alpha(a(x)) (= a(\alpha x)) \in V, \tag{2.78}$$

$$a(x)_{(\alpha, n)} b(x) \in V. \tag{2.79}$$

Proof. Clearly the space spanned by V and 1_W is still quasi compatible. With V being maximal we must have $1_W \in V$. Now, let $a(x), b(x) \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In view of Proposition 2.18, any (ordered) sequence in $V \cup \{a(x)_n b(x)\}$ with one appearance of $a(x)_n b(x)$ is quasi compatible. It follows from induction on the number of appearance of $a(x)_n b(x)$ and from Proposition 2.18 that any (ordered) sequence in $V \cup \{a(x)_n b(x)\}$ with any (finite) number of appearance of $a(x)_n b(x)$ is quasi compatible. So the space spanned by V and $a(x)_n b(x)$ is quasi compatible. Again, with V being maximal we must have $a(x)_n b(x) \in V$. This proves that V is closed, and hence by Theorem 2.17 $(V, Y_{\mathcal{E}}, 1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a faithful quasi module.

Since V is quasi compatible, it is clear that $\{a(\alpha x) \mid a(x) \in V, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$, containing V , is also quasi compatible. With V being maximal we must have $V = \{a(\alpha x) \mid a(x) \in V, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$. This proves (2.78). By Proposition 2.14 we have

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\alpha)}(a(x), x_0) b(x) = R_\alpha Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0) R_{\alpha^{-1}} b(x)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $a(x), b(x) \in V$. Then (2.79) follows immediately. $\square$

Furthermore, we have (cf. [Li4], [Li5]):

Theorem 2.20. *Let S be any quasi compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ and let Γ be any subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\times$. There exists a unique smallest closed quasi compatible subspace denoted by $\langle S \rangle_\Gamma$ which contains $S \cup \{1_W\}$ and which is closed under the actions of R_α for $\alpha \in \Gamma$. The triple $(\langle S \rangle_\Gamma, Y_\mathcal{E}, 1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a faithful quasi module with $Y_W(\psi(x), x_0) = \psi(x_0)$. Furthermore, we have*

$$\langle S \rangle_\Gamma = \text{span}\{a^{(1)}(x)_{n_1} \cdots a^{(r)}(x)_{n_r} 1_W \mid r \geq 0, a^{(i)}(x) \in R_\Gamma(S), n_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad (2.80)$$

where $R_\Gamma(S) = \{R_\alpha a(x) = a(\alpha x) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma, a(x) \in S\}$, and for $a(x), b(x) \in \langle S \rangle_\Gamma$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$a(x)_{(\alpha, n)} b(x) \in \langle S \rangle_\Gamma, \quad (2.81)$$

$$Y_\mathcal{E}^{(\alpha)}(a(x), x_0) b(x) = R_\alpha Y_\mathcal{E}(a(x), x_0) R_{\alpha^{-1}} b(x), \quad (2.82)$$

$$R_\alpha Y_\mathcal{E}(a(x), x_0) R_{\alpha^{-1}} b(x) = Y_\mathcal{E}(R_\alpha a(x), \alpha^{-1} x_0) b(x). \quad (2.83)$$

Proof. In view of Zorn's lemma there exists a maximal quasi compatible subspace V of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, containing S and 1_W . By Theorem 2.19, V is closed, $(V, Y_\mathcal{E}, 1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a faithful quasi module, and V is closed under the actions of R_α for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Now the existence and uniqueness of $\langle S \rangle_\Gamma$ is clear and the second assertion is also proved.

Note that the last two identities have already been established in Proposition 2.14. Then (2.81) follows from (2.82). For (2.80), it is clear that $\langle S \rangle_\Gamma$ contains the space, say T , on the right-hand side of (2.80). By Lemma 2.6, T is the nonlocal vertex subalgebra generated by $R_\Gamma(S)$, so it is closed. It follows from (2.83) that T is also closed under the actions of R_α for $\alpha \in \Gamma$. With $\langle S \rangle_\Gamma$ being minimal we must have $\langle S \rangle_\Gamma \subset T$. This proves $\langle S \rangle_\Gamma = T$, proving (2.80). $\square$

Just as with classical associative (or Lie) algebras, a quasi module amounts to a “representation” for a nonlocal vertex algebra.

Proposition 2.21. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra and let W be a vector space equipped with a linear map Y_W from V to $\mathcal{E}(W)$ with $Y_W(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1_W$. If (W, Y_W) is a quasi V -module, then for $u, v \in V$, whenever $(Y_W(u, x), Y_W(v, x))$ is quasi compatible, we have*

$$Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x) = Y_\mathcal{E}(Y_W(u, x), x_0) Y_W(v, x). \quad (2.84)$$

On the other hand, if for any $u, v \in V$, $(Y_W(u, x), Y_W(v, x))$ is quasi compatible and (2.84) holds, then (W, Y_W) is a quasi V -module.

Proof. Assume that (W, Y_W) is a quasi V -module and let $u, v \in V$ be such that the ordered pair $(Y_W(u, x), Y_W(v, x))$ is quasi compatible. Let $w \in W$. By Proposition 2.12, there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x_1, x_2)$ such that

$$p(x_0 + x, x) Y_W(u, x_0 + x) Y_W(v, x) w = p(x_0 + x, x) (Y_\mathcal{E}(u(x), x_0) v(x)) w$$

and by the definition of a quasi module, there exists a nonzero polynomial $q(x_1, x_2)$ such that

$$q(x_0 + x, x)Y_W(u, x_0 + x)Y_W(v, x)w = q(x_0 + x, x)Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x)w.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & p(x_0 + x, x)q(x_0 + x, x)Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x)w \\ &= p(x_0 + x, x)q(x_0 + x, x)(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u(x), x_0)v(x))w. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x)w, (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u(x), x_0)v(x))w \in W((x))((x_0))$, by cancelation we get

$$Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x)w = (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u(x), x_0)v(x))w,$$

proving (2.84).

Assume that for any $u, v \in V$ $(Y_W(u, x), Y_W(v, x))$ is quasi compatible and (2.84) holds. For $u, v \in V, w \in W$, from Proposition 2.12 there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x, y)$ such that

$$p(x_0 + x, x)(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_W(u, x), x_0)Y_W(v, x))w = p(x_0 + x, x)Y_W(u, x_0 + x)Y_W(v, x)w.$$

Using (2.84) we get

$$p(x_0 + x, x)Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x)w = p(x_0 + x, x)Y_W(u, x_0 + x)Y_W(v, x)w.$$

This proves that (W, Y_W) is a quasi V -module. □

Considering a V -module instead of a quasi module we have:

Proposition 2.22. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra and let W be a vector space equipped with a linear map Y_W from V to $\mathcal{E}(W)$ with $Y_W(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1_W$. Then (W, Y_W) is a V -module if and only if for $u, v \in V$, there exists a nonnegative integer k such that*

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_2))), \quad (2.85)$$

$$Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x) = Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_W(u, x), x_0)Y_W(v, x). \quad (2.86)$$

Proof. Assume that (W, Y_W) is a V -module. For $u, v \in W$, let k be a nonnegative integer such that $x^k Y(u, x)v \in V[[x]]$. For any $w \in W$, there exists a nonnegative integer l such that

$$x_0^k(x_0 + x_2)^l Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2)Y_W(v, x_2)w = x_0^k(x_0 + x_2)^l Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w.$$

Noticing that the right hand side contains only nonnegative powers of x_0 , we have

$$x_0^k(x_0 + x_2)^l Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2)Y_W(v, x_2)w \in W[[x_0, x_2]][x_2^{-1}].$$

Thus

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k x_1^l Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2)w \in W[[x_1, x_2]][x_2^{-1}],$$

which implies

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) w \in W((x_1, x_2)).$$

As k is independent of w , we have

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_2))).$$

By Proposition 2.21 (2.86) holds.

Conversely, for $u, v \in V$ and $w \in W$, let k be a nonnegative integer such that (2.85) holds. From Proposition 2.12 there exists a nonnegative integer l such that

$$(x_0 + x)^l x_0^k (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_W(u, x), x_0) Y_W(v, x)) w = (x_0 + x)^l x_0^k Y_W(u, x_0 + x) Y_W(v, x) w.$$

As $Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_W(u, x), x_0) Y_W(v, x) = Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x)$ by assumption, it follows that

$$(x_0 + x)^l Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x) w = (x_0 + x)^l Y_W(u, x_0 + x) Y_W(v, x) w.$$

This proves that (W, Y_W) is a V -module. $\square$

Remark 2.23. The properties (2.85) and (2.86) amount to that $(Y_W(V), Y_{\mathcal{E}}, 1_W)$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra and Y_W is a nonlocal vertex algebra homomorphism.

3 Pseudo-local subsets of $\mathcal{E}(W)$

In this section we study certain special quasi compatible subspaces, which we call pseudo-local subsets, $\mathcal{S}$ -local subsets and quasi Γ -local subsets of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. The main result is that if U is a pseudo-local subset, or an $\mathcal{S}$ -local subset, or a quasi Γ -local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, then the nonlocal vertex algebra $\langle U \rangle$ generated by U is pseudo-local, or $\mathcal{S}$ -local, or quasi Γ -local, respectively. The notions of quasi Γ -locality and $\mathcal{S}$ -locality are designed with quantum affine algebras and Yangians as targets.

As in Section 2, we fix a vector space W over $\mathbb{C}$ throughout this section.

Definition 3.1. A subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is said to be *pseudo-local* if for any $a(x), b(x) \in U$, there exist $0 \neq p(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$, $q_i(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}_*(x, y)$ and $u_i(x), v_i(x) \in U$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ (finite) such that

$$p(x_1, x_2) a(x_1) b(x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r \iota_{x_2, x_1}(q_i(x_1, x_2)) u_i(x_2) v_i(x_1). \quad (3.1)$$

We have:

Lemma 3.2. *Every pseudo-local subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is quasi compatible.*

Proof. We must prove that any sequence in U of finite length is quasi compatible. We shall use induction on the length n of sequences. Let $(a(x), b(x))$ be an ordered pair (a sequence of length 2) in U . By assumption, there exist $0 \neq p(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$, $a^{(i)}(x), b^{(i)}(x) \in U$ and $\bar{q}_i(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}((y))((x))$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ such that

$$p(x_1, x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r \bar{q}_i(x_1, x_2)b^{(i)}(x_2)a^{(i)}(x_1). \quad (3.2)$$

The expression on the left-hand side lies in $\text{Hom}(W, W((x_1))((x_2)))$ and the expression on the right-hand side lies in $\text{Hom}(W, W((x_2))((x_1)))$. This forces the expressions on both sides to lie in $\text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_2)))$. Thus $(a(x), b(x))$ is quasi compatible, proving the case for $n = 2$.

Assume that $n \geq 2$ and any sequence in S of length n is quasi compatible. Let $\psi^{(1)}(x), \dots, \psi^{(n+1)}(x) \in S$. From the inductive hypothesis, there exists $0 \neq f(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$ such that

$$\left(\prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq n+1} f(x_i, x_j) \right) \psi^{(2)}(x_2) \cdots \psi^{(n+1)}(x_{n+1}) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_2, \dots, x_{n+2}))). \quad (3.3)$$

By assumption there exist $0 \neq p(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$, $a^{(i)}(x), b^{(i)}(x) \in U$ and $\bar{q}_i(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}((y))((x))$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ such that

$$p(x_1, x_2)\psi^{(1)}(x_1)\psi^{(2)}(x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r \bar{q}_i(x_1, x_2)b^{(i)}(x_2)a^{(i)}(x_1). \quad (3.4)$$

From the inductive hypothesis again, there exists $0 \neq g(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1, i, j \neq 2} g(x_i, x_j) \right) a^{(s)}(x_1)\psi^{(3)}(x_3) \cdots \psi^{(n+1)}(x_{n+1}) \\ & \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}))) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for $s = 1, \dots, r$. Using (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq n+1} f(x_i, x_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1, i, j \neq 2} g(x_i, x_j) \right) p(x_1, x_2)\psi^{(1)}(x_1) \cdots \psi^{(n+1)}(x_{n+1}) \\ & = \left(\prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq n+1} f(x_i, x_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1, i, j \neq 2} g(x_i, x_j) \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \sum_{s=1}^r \bar{q}_i(x_1, x_2)b^{(s)}(x_2)a^{(s)}(x_1)\psi^{(3)}(x_3) \cdots \psi^{(n+1)}(x_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.3), the expression on the left-hand side of (3.6) lies in

$$\text{Hom}(W, W((x_1))((x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}))),$$

and by (3.5), the expression on the right-hand side of (3.6) lies in

$$\text{Hom}(W, W((x_2))((x_1, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}))).$$

This forces the expressions on both sides to lie in $\text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1})))$. In particular, the expression on the left-hand side to lie in $\text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1})))$. This proves that the sequence $(\psi^{(1)}(x), \dots, \psi^{(n+1)}(x))$ is quasi compatible, completing the induction. $\square$

Definition 3.3. A subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is said to be $\mathcal{S}$ -local if for any $a(x), b(x) \in U$, there exist $\psi_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$, $u_i(x), v_i(x) \in U$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and a nonnegative integer k such that

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 - x_2)^k a(x_1) b(x_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^r (x_1 - x_2)^k \iota_{x_2, x_1}(\psi_i(x_2 - x_1)) u_i(x_2) v_i(x_1) \\ &\left(= \sum_{i=1}^r \iota_{x_2, x_1}((-1)^k (x_2 - x_1)^k \psi_i(x_2 - x_1)) u_i(x_2) v_i(x_1) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Let Γ be any subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Set

$$\mathbb{C}_\Gamma[x] = \langle 1, x - \alpha \mid \alpha \in \Gamma \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}[x], \quad (3.8)$$

$$\mathbb{C}_\Gamma(x, y) = \mathbb{C}[[x, y]][x^{-1}, y^{-1}, (x - \alpha y)^{-1} \mid \alpha \in \Gamma] \subset \mathbb{C}_*(x, y). \quad (3.9)$$

Then $\mathbb{C}_\Gamma(x, y)$ is a subalgebra and it is closed under the partial differentiation operators $\partial/\partial x$ and $\partial/\partial y$.

Definition 3.4. Let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\times$. A subset (or subspace) U of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is said to be *pseudo* Γ -local if for any $a(x), b(x) \in U$, there exist $0 \neq p(x) \in \mathbb{C}_\Gamma[x]$ and $\psi_i(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}_\Gamma(x, y)$, $u_i(x), v_i(x) \in U$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_1/x_2) a(x_1) b(x_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^r p(x_1/x_2) \iota_{x_2, x_1}(\psi_i(x_1, x_2)) u_i(x_2) v_i(x_1) \\ &\left(= \sum_{i=1}^r \iota_{x_2, x_1}(p(x_1/x_2) \psi_i(x_1, x_2)) u_i(x_2) v_i(x_1) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{S}$ -local subsets and pseudo Γ -local subsets of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ are pseudo-local. Therefore they are quasi compatible by Lemma 3.2.

We shall need the following two technical results:

Lemma 3.5. *Let U be a quasi compatible subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ and let $a(x), b(x), c(x) \in U$. Assume that $0 \neq p_1(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$, $q_{1i}(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}((y))((x))$ and $u_i(x), v_i(x) \in U$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ such that*

$$p_1(x_1, x_2) c(x_1) a(x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r q_{1i}(x_1, x_2) u_i(x_2) v_i(x_1), \quad (3.11)$$

and assume that $0 \neq p_2(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$, $q_{2j}(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}((y))((x))$ and $\psi_{ij}(x), \phi_{ij}(x) \in U$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq s$ such that

$$p_2(x_1, x_2)v_i(x_1)b(x_2) = \sum_{j=1}^s q_{2j}(x_1, x_2)\psi_{ij}(x_2)\phi_{ij}(x_1) \quad (3.12)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$\begin{aligned} & p_1(x_3, x)^k p_2(x_3, x)c(x_3)(a(x)_n b(x)) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{t \geq 0} \frac{1}{t!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^t (q_{1i}(x_3, x)g_m(x_3, x)) \\ & \quad \cdot q_{2j}(x_3, x) (u_i(x)_{n+m+t} \psi_{ij}(x)) \phi_{ij}(x_3), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where $g_m(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$ for $0 \leq m < k$ are defined by

$$\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^m p_1(x_3, x_1)^k \right) = p_1(x_3, x_1)g_m(x_3, x_1). \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. Combining (3.11) and (3.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & p_1(x_3, x_1)p_2(x_3, x)c(x_3)a(x_1)b(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^s q_{1i}(x_3, x_1)q_{2j}(x_3, x)u_i(x_1)\psi_{ij}(x)\phi_{ij}(x_3). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Since U is quasi compatible, there exists a nonzero polynomial $f(x, y)$ such that

$$f(x_1, x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2), \quad f(x_1, x_2)u_i(x_1)\psi_{ij}(x_2) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_2))) \quad (3.16)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r$, $j = 1, \dots, s$. From Definition 2.11 we have

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x) = \text{Res}_{x_1} \iota_{x, x_0} (f(x + x_0, x)^{-1}) x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1} \right) (f(x_1, x)a(x_1)b(x)), \quad (3.17)$$

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u_i(x), x_0)\psi_{ij}(x) = \text{Res}_{x_1} \iota_{x, x_0} (f(x + x_0, x)^{-1}) x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1} \right) (f(x_1, x)u_i(x_1)\psi_{ij}(x)). \quad (3.18)$$

For any fixed $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let k be a positive integer depending on n such that

$$x_0^{k+n} \iota_{x, x_0} (f(x + x_0, x)^{-1}) \in \mathbb{C}((x))[[x_0]]. \quad (3.19)$$

(Recall that $\iota_{x, x_0} (f(x + x_0, x)^{-1}) \in \mathbb{C}((x))((x_0))$.) Using (3.17), (3.19), (3.14), (3.15) and

(3.18) in a sequence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& p_1(x_3, x)^k p_2(x_3, x) c(x_3) (a(x)_n b(x)) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^n p_1(x_3, x)^k p_2(x_3, x) c(x_3) (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0) b(x)) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_0} \text{Res}_{x_1} x_0^n p_1(x_3, x)^k p_2(x_3, x) c(x_3) \iota_{x, x_0} (f(x + x_0, x)^{-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1} \right) (f(x_1, x) a(x_1) b(x)) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_0} \text{Res}_{x_1} x_0^n p_1(x_3, x_1 - x_0)^k p_2(x_3, x) c(x_3) \iota_{x, x_0} (f(x + x_0, x)^{-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1} \right) (f(x_1, x) a(x_1) b(x)) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_0} \text{Res}_{x_1} x_0^n \left(e^{-x_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}} p_1(x_3, x_1)^k \right) p_2(x_3, x) c(x_3) \iota_{x, x_0} (f(x + x_0, x)^{-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1} \right) (f(x_1, x) a(x_1) b(x)) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_0} \text{Res}_{x_1} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} x_0^{n+m} \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^m p_1(x_3, x_1)^k \right) p_2(x_3, x) \iota_{x, x_0} (f(x + x_0, x)^{-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1} \right) (f(x_1, x) c(x_3) a(x_1) b(x)) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_0} \text{Res}_{x_1} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} x_0^{n+m} p_1(x_3, x_1) g_m(x_3, x_1) p_2(x_3, x) \iota_{x, x_0} (f(x + x_0, x)^{-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1} \right) (f(x_1, x) c(x_3) a(x_1) b(x)) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_0} \text{Res}_{x_1} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} x_0^{n+m} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^s \iota_{x, x_0} (f(x + x_0, x)^{-1}) x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot (q_{1i}(x_3, x_1) g_m(x_3, x_1) q_{2j}(x_3, x) f(x_1, x) u_i(x_1) \psi_{ij}(x) \phi_{ij}(x_3)) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_0} \text{Res}_{x_1} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} x_0^{n+m} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^s \iota_{x, x_0} (f(x + x_0, x)^{-1}) x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot q_{1i}(x_3, x + x_0) g_m(x_3, x + x_0) q_{2j}(x_3, x) (f(x_1, x) u_i(x_1) \psi_{ij}(x) \phi_{ij}(x_3)) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_0} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} x_0^{n+m} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^s q_{1i}(x_3, x + x_0) g_m(x_3, x + x_0) \\
&\quad \cdot q_{2j}(x_3, x) (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u_i(x), x_0) \psi_{ij}(x)) \phi_{ij}(x_3) \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{t \geq 0} \frac{1}{t!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^t (q_{1i}(x_3, x) g_m(x_3, x)) \\
&\quad \cdot q_{2j}(x_3, x) (u_i(x)_{n+m+t} \psi_{ij}(x)) \phi_{ij}(x_3). \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Clearly, the following parallel assertion also holds:

Lemma 3.6. *Let U be a quasi compatible subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ and let $a(x), b(x), c(x) \in U$. Assume that $0 \neq p_1(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$, $q_{1i}(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}((y))((x))$ and $u_i(x), v_i(x) \in U$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ such that*

$$p_1(x_1, x_2)b(x_1)c(x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r q_{1i}(x_1, x_2)u_i(x_2)v_i(x_1), \quad (3.21)$$

and assume that $0 \neq p_2(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$, $q_{2j}(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}((y))((x))$ and $\psi_{ij}(x), \phi_{ij}(x) \in U$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq s$ such that

$$p_2(x_1, x_2)a(x_1)u_i(x_2) = \sum_{j=1}^s q_{2j}(x_1, x_2)\psi_{ij}(x_2)\phi_{ij}(x_1) \quad (3.22)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$\begin{aligned} & p_1(x, x_3)p_2(x, x_3)^k(a(x)_n b(x))c(x_3) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{t \geq 0} \frac{1}{t!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^t (q_{1i}(x, x_3)g_m(x, x_3)) \\ & \quad \cdot q_{2j}(x, x_3)\psi_{ij}(x_3)(\phi_{ij}(x)_{n+m+t}v_i(x)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

where $g_m(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$ for $0 \leq m < k$ are defined by

$$\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^m p_2(x_1, x_3)^k \right) = p_2(x_1, x_3)g_m(x_1, x_3). \quad (3.24)$$

Definition 3.7. Let S and U be subsets of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Define $PC_U(S)$ to consist of $a(x) \in U$ satisfying the condition that for any $b(x) \in S$, there exists $0 \neq p(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$ such that

$$p(x_1, x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r \iota_{x_2, x_1}(\psi_i(x_1, x_2))c_i(x_2)d_i(x_1) \quad (3.25)$$

for some $\psi_i(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}_*(x, y)$, $c_i(x) \in S$, $d_i(x) \in U$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and such that

$$p(x_1, x_2)b(x_1)a(x_2) = \sum_{j=1}^s \iota_{x_2, x_1}(\phi_j(x_1, x_2))v_j(x_2)u_j(x_1) \quad (3.26)$$

for some $\phi_j(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}_*(x, y)$ and $u_j(x) \in S$, $v_j(x) \in U$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$.

We also define $PC_U^S(S)$ by requiring that $p(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[(x - y)]$, $\psi_i(x, y), \phi_j(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}((x - y))$. Furthermore, for any subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{C}^\times$, we define $PC_U^\Gamma(S)$ by requiring that $p(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}_\Gamma[x/y]$, $\psi_i(x, y), \phi_j(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}_\Gamma(x, y)$.

Clearly, if U is a subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, $PC_U(S)$, $PC_U^S(S)$, and $PC_U^\Gamma(S)$ are subspaces of U . From Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 we immediately have:

Corollary 3.8. *Let U be a closed quasi compatible subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ and let S be a subset of U . Then $PC_U(S)$ and $PC_U^S(S)$ are closed subspaces of U . For any subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{C}^\times$, the subspace $PC_U^\Gamma(S)$ is also closed.*

Recall from Lemma 3.2 that any pseudo-local subset S of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is quasi compatible. By Theorem 2.20, we have the smallest closed quasi compatible subspace $\langle U \rangle$ of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, containing $S \cup \{1_W\}$.

Proposition 3.9. *If S is a pseudo-local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, then the nonlocal vertex algebra $\langle S \rangle$ generated by S is also pseudo-local. If S is an $\mathcal{S}$ -local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, then $\langle S \rangle$ is $\mathcal{S}$ -local.*

Proof. Taking $U = \langle S \rangle$ in Corollary 3.8, we see that $PC_U(S)$, containing $S \cup \{1_W\}$, is a closed subspace of U . Thus, $U = \langle S \rangle \subset PC_U(S)$. This implies that $S \subset PC_U(U)$. Using Corollary 3.8 again we have $U = \langle S \rangle \subset PC_U(U)$. Therefore, $U = \langle S \rangle = PC_U(U)$. That is, $U = \langle S \rangle$ is pseudo-local.

For the second assertion, using $PC_U^S(S)$, instead of $PC_U(S)$ we see that $\langle S \rangle$ is an $\mathcal{S}$ -local subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. $\square$

Let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ and let S be a pseudo Γ -local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Let Γ_0 be a subgroup of Γ . By Theorem 2.20, we have the smallest closed quasi compatible subspace $\langle S \rangle_{\Gamma_0}$ of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, which contains $S \cup \{1_W\}$ and which is closed under the actions of R_α for $\alpha \in \Gamma_0$. From the proof of Proposition 3.9 we immediately have:

Proposition 3.10. *Let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ and let S be a pseudo Γ -local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Then for any subgroup Γ_0 of Γ , $\langle S \rangle_{\Gamma_0}$ is also pseudo Γ -local.*

Remark 3.11. Let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Set

$$\mathbb{C}_\Gamma(x) = \mathbb{C}[[x]][x^{-1}, (x - \alpha)^{-1} \mid \alpha \in \Gamma] \subset \mathbb{C}(x).$$

Let U be a subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ satisfying the condition that for any $a(x), b(x) \in U$, there exist $0 \neq p(x) \in \mathbb{C}_\Gamma[x]$ and $\psi_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}_\Gamma(x)$, $u_i(x), v_i(x) \in U$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ such that

$$p(x_1/x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r \iota_{x_2, x_1}(\psi_i(x_1/x_2))u_i(x_2)v_i(x_1). \quad (3.27)$$

Clearly, U is pseudo Γ -local. By Proposition 3.10, the nonlocal vertex algebra $\langle U \rangle_\Gamma$ is pseudo Γ -local. But, in general, $\langle U \rangle_\Gamma$ does not satisfy the same (stronger pseudo Γ -locality) property that U satisfies. This is due to the fact that $\mathbb{C}_\Gamma[x/y]$ is not closed under the partial differential operators with respect to x and y (cf. Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6).

In Section 2, we have discussed the actions of R_α for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ on $\mathcal{E}(W)$ and its maximal quasi compatible subspaces. In fact, there are also other natural actions as we discuss next.

Let t be a formal variable. Then $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is naturally a vector space over $\mathbb{C}((t))$ with

$$f(t)a(x) = f(x)a(x) \quad \text{for } f(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t)), a(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W). \quad (3.28)$$

Proposition 3.12. *If $(a(x), b(x))$ is a quasi compatible pair in $\mathcal{E}(W)$, then for any $f(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t))$, $(f(t)a(x), g(t)b(x))$ is a quasi compatible pair and*

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(f(t)a(x), x_0)g(t)b(x) = f(t + x_0)g(t)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x). \quad (3.29)$$

The $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -linear span of any quasi compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is quasi compatible and the $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -linear span of any closed quasi compatible $\mathbb{C}$ -subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is closed. Any maximal quasi compatible $\mathbb{C}$ -subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is a $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -subspace.

Proof. Let $a(x), b(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W)$ and $0 \neq p(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ be such that $p(x_1, x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_2)))$. For any $f(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t))$, we have

$$p(x_1, x_2)(f(t)a(x_1))(g(t)b(x_2)) = p(x_1, x_2)f(x_1)g(x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_2))).$$

By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_{\mathcal{E}}(f(t)a(x), x_0)(g(t)b(x)) \\ &= \iota_{x, x_0} (p(x_0 + x, x)^{-1}) (p(x_1, x)f(x_1)g(x)a(x_1)b(x))|_{x_1=x+x_0} \\ &= f(x + x_0)g(x)\iota_{x, x_0} (p(x_0 + x, x)^{-1}) (p(x_1, x)a(x_1)b(x))|_{x_1=x+x_0} \\ &= f(t + x_0)g(t)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

This proves the first assertion.

If $(\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_r(x))$ is a quasi compatible sequence in $\mathcal{E}(W)$, it is clear that for any $f_1(t), \dots, f_r(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t))$, the sequence $(f_1(x)\psi_1(x), \dots, f_r(x)\psi_r(x))$ is quasi compatible, that is, the sequence $(f_1(t)\psi_1(x), \dots, f_r(t)\psi_r(x))$ is quasi compatible. It follows that the $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -linear span of any quasi compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is quasi compatible. This furthermore implies that any maximal quasi compatible $\mathbb{C}$ -subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is a $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -subspace. From (3.29), the $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -linear span of any closed quasi compatible $\mathbb{C}$ -subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ is closed. $\square$

Proposition 3.13. *Let V be a closed quasi compatible subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Suppose that the following relation holds*

$$p(x_1, x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r \iota_{x_2, x_1}(q_i(x_1, x_2))u_i(x_2)v_i(x_1), \quad (3.31)$$

where $a(x), b(x), u_i(x), v_i(x) \in V$ and $p(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$, $q_i(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}_*(x, y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & p(t + x_1, t + x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x), x_2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \iota_{t, x_2, x_1}(q_i(x_1 + t, x_2 + t))Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u_i(x), x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(v_i(x), x_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Furthermore, if $q_i(x, y) = p(x, y)f_i(y - x)$ where $f_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 - x_2)^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x), x_2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (x_1 - x_2)^k \iota_{x_2, x_1}(f_i(x_2 - x_1))Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u_i(x), x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(v_i(x), x_1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

where k is the multiplicity of zero $x_1 = x_2$ for $p(x_1, x_2)$.

Proof. Let $\theta(x) \in V$, $w \in W$ be arbitrarily fixed vectors. Let $f(x, y)$ be a nonzero polynomial such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y)b(x)\theta(y) &\in \text{Hom}(W, W((x, y))), \\ f(x, y)f(x, z)f(y, z)a(x)b(y)\theta(z) &\in \text{Hom}(W, W((x, y, z))). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let l be a nonnegative integer such that

$$x^l y^l f(x, y)f(x, z)f(y, z)a(x)b(y)\theta(z)w \in W[[x, y, z]][z^{-1}].$$

Using Lemma 2.16, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(x_1 + x)^l (x_2 + x)^l f(x_1 + x, x_2 + x)f(x_1 + x, x)f(x_2 + x, x) \\ &\quad \cdot (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x), x_2)\theta(x)) w \\ = & (y^l z^l f(y, z)f(y, x)f(z, x)a(y)b(z)\theta(x)w) \big|_{y=x+x_1, z=x+x_2} \\ = & (y^l z^l f(y, z)f(y, x)f(z, x)a(y)b(z)\theta(x)w) \big|_{y=x_1+x, z=x_2+x} \\ = & (x_1 + x)^l (x_2 + x)^l f(x_1 + x, x_2 + x)f(x_1 + x, x)f(x_2 + x, x)a(x_1 + x)b(x_2 + x)\theta(x)w. \end{aligned}$$

Write $f(x, y) = (x - y)^s F(x, y)$ where $s \geq 0$, $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ with $F(x, x) \neq 0$. Using cancelation we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(x_1 + x)^l (x_2 + x)^l F(x_1 + x, x_2 + x)F(x_1 + x, x)F(x_2 + x, x) \\ &\quad \cdot (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x), x_2)\theta(x)) w \\ = & (x_1 + x)^l (x_2 + x)^l F(x_1 + x, x_2 + x)F(x_1 + x, x)F(x_2 + x, x)a(x_1 + x)b(x_2 + x)\theta(x)w. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that replacing $f(x, y)$ with a multiply of $f(x, y)$ if necessary, we can also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(x_1 + x)^l (x_2 + x)^l F(x_1 + x, x_2 + x)F(x_1 + x, x)F(x_2 + x, x) \\ &\quad \cdot (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u_i(x), x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(v_i(x), x_1)\theta(x)) w \\ = & (x_1 + x)^l (x_2 + x)^l F(x_1 + x, x_2 + x)F(x_1 + x, x)F(x_2 + x, x)u_i(x_2 + x)v_i(x_1 + x)\theta(x)w \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &F(x_1 + x, x_2 + x)(x_1 + x)^l F(x_1 + x, x)(x_2 + x)^l F(x_2 + x, x) \\ &\quad \cdot p(x_1 + x, x_2 + x) (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x), x_2)\theta(x)) w \\ = & F(x_1 + x, x_2 + x)(x_1 + x)^l F(x_1 + x, x)(x_2 + x)^l F(x_2 + x, x) \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{i=1}^r \iota_{x, x_2, x_1}(q_i(x + x_1, x + x_2)) (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u_i(x), x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(v_i(x), x_1)\theta(x)) w. \quad (3.34) \end{aligned}$$

Invoking the cancelation rule (Lemma 2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &p(x_1 + x, x_2 + x) (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x), x_2)\theta(x)) w \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^r \iota_{x, x_2, x_1}(q_i(x + x_1, x + x_2)) (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u_i(x), x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(v_i(x), x_1)\theta(x)) w, \quad (3.35) \end{aligned}$$

proving (3.32).

Now assume that

$$p(x_1, x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r p(x_1, x_2)\iota_{x_2, x_1}(f_i(x_2 - x_1))u_i(x_2)v_i(x_1), \quad (3.36)$$

where $f_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & p(x_1 + x, x_2 + x) (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x), x_2)\theta(x)) w \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r p(x_1 + x, x_2 + x)\iota_{x_2, x_1}(f_i(x_2 - x_1)) (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u_i(x), x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(v_i(x), x_1)\theta(x)) w. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

We may assume $p(x, y) \neq 0$. Write $p(x, y) = (x - y)^k p_1(x, y)$, where $p_1(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ with $p_1(x, x) \neq 0$. Using the cancelation law (Lemma 2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 - x_2)^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x), x_2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (x_1 - x_2)^k \iota_{x_2, x_1}(f_i(x_2 - x_1))Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u_i(x), x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(v_i(x), x_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

4 Pseudo-local quasi modules for nonlocal vertex algebras

In this section we formulate and study notions of pseudo-local quasi module and $\mathcal{S}$ -quasi module for a nonlocal vertex algebra with respect to a quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}$. We also associate nonlocal vertex algebras to quantum affine algebras and their restricted modules.

Recall that

$$\mathbb{C}_*(x_1, x_2) = \{p(x_1, x_2)/q(x_1, x_2) \mid p(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2]], 0 \neq q(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]\}.$$

We shall use the following subspaces of $\mathbb{C}_*(x_1, x_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_*(x_1/x_2) &= \{f(x_1/x_2) \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]][x^{-1}]\} \subset \mathbb{C}_*(x_1, x_2), \\ \mathbb{C}_*(x_1 - x_2) &= \{f(x_1 - x_2) \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]][x^{-1}]\} \subset \mathbb{C}_*(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.1. Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra. A *pseudo-local quasi V -module* is a quasi V -module (W, Y_W) satisfying the condition that for any $u, v \in V$, there exist $f_i(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}_*(x_1, x_2)$ and $u^{(i)}, v^{(i)} \in V$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that

$$p(x_1, x_2)Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n p(x_1, x_2)\iota_{x_2, x_1}(f_i(x_1, x_2))Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1) \quad (4.1)$$

for some nonzero polynomial $p(x_1, x_2)$. That is, a pseudo-local quasi V -module is a quasi V -module (W, Y_W) such that $\{Y_W(v, x) \mid v \in V\}$ is a pseudo-local subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$.

For a subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{C}^\times$, we define a *pseudo Γ -local quasi module* for V to be a quasi V -module (W, Y_W) such that $\{Y_W(v, x) \mid v \in V\}$ is a pseudo Γ -local subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$.

In terms of these notions, from Propositions 3.9 and 3.10, we immediately have:

Theorem 4.2. *Let W be a vector space and let S be any pseudo-local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Then W is a faithful pseudo-local quasi module for the nonlocal vertex algebra $\langle S \rangle$ (generated by S) with $Y_W(\alpha(x), x_0) = \alpha(x_0)$ for $\alpha(x) \in \langle S \rangle$. Furthermore, if S is pseudo Γ -local for some subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{C}^\times$, then W is a pseudo Γ -local quasi module.*

Remark 4.3. We here recall the definitions of quantum Yang-Baxter operators (cf. [CP], [EFK]). Let U be a vector space. A *unitary quantum Yang-Baxter operator* (with two spectral parameters) on U is a linear map $\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2) : U \otimes U \rightarrow U \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}_*(x_1, x_2)$ such that

$$\mathcal{S}_{21}(x_2, x_1)\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2) = 1, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{12}(x_1, x_2)\mathcal{S}_{13}(x_1, x_3)\mathcal{S}_{23}(x_2, x_3) = \mathcal{S}_{23}(x_2, x_3)\mathcal{S}_{13}(x_1, x_3)\mathcal{S}_{12}(x_1, x_2). \quad (4.3)$$

A *unitary trigonometric quantum Yang-Baxter operator* on U is to a linear map $\mathcal{S} : U \otimes U \rightarrow U \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$ such that

$$\mathcal{S}_{21}(x)\mathcal{S}(x^{-1}) = 1, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{12}(x)\mathcal{S}_{13}(xz)\mathcal{S}_{23}(z) = \mathcal{S}_{23}(z)\mathcal{S}_{13}(zx)\mathcal{S}_{12}(x) \quad (4.5)$$

and a *unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator* on U is to a linear map $\mathcal{S} : U \otimes U \rightarrow U \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$ such that

$$\mathcal{S}_{21}(x)\mathcal{S}(-x) = 1, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{12}(x)\mathcal{S}_{13}(x+z)\mathcal{S}_{23}(z) = \mathcal{S}_{23}(z)\mathcal{S}_{13}(z+x)\mathcal{S}_{12}(x). \quad (4.7)$$

It is clear that for any unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}(x)$, $\mathcal{S}(x_1 - x_2)$ is a unitary quantum Yang-Baxter operator with two spectral parameters. We here use the standard notations in literature. In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{21}(x_1, x_2) = \sigma_{12}\mathcal{S}_{12}(x_1, x_2)\sigma_{12},$$

where σ is the flip map $U \otimes U \rightarrow U \otimes U, u \otimes v \mapsto v \otimes u$.

Remark 4.4. For any linear map $S(x_1, x_2) : U \otimes U \rightarrow U \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}_*(x_1, x_2)$, define a linear map $R(x_1, x_2)$ of the same type by $R(x_1, x_2) = S(x_1, x_2)\sigma$, i.e., $R(x_1, x_2)(u \otimes v) = S(x_1, x_2)(v \otimes u)$. Then the relation (4.3) is equivalent to the following braided relation:

$$R_{12}(x_1, x_2)R_{23}(x_1, x_3)R_{12}(x_2, x_3) = R_{23}(x_2, x_3)R_{12}(x_1, x_3)R_{23}(x_1, x_2). \quad (4.8)$$

For any linear map $\mathcal{S} : U \otimes U \rightarrow U \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}_*(x_1, x_2)$, we set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_1, x_2) = (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \iota_{x_1, x_2})\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2), \quad (4.9)$$

which is a linear map from $U \otimes U$ to $U \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}((x_1))((x_2))$. Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)$ is a linear map from $U \otimes U$ to $U \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}((x_2))((x_1))$.

Definition 4.5. Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra and let $\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)$ be a unitary quantum Yang-Baxter operator on V . An $\mathcal{S}$ -quasi V -module is a quasi V -module (W, Y_W) satisfying the condition that for $u, v \in V$, there exists $0 \neq p(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ such that

$$p(x_1, x_2)Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2) = p(x_1, x_2) \sum_{i=1}^r \iota_{x_2, x_1}(f_i(x_2, x_1))Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1) \quad (4.10)$$

where $\mathcal{S}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes u) = \sum_{i=1}^r v^{(i)} \otimes u^{(i)} \otimes f_i(x_2, x_1)$.

Remark 4.6. Let W be a vector space. For

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n), G(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\text{End } W)[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]],$$

we write $F \sim G$ if there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n)F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = p(x_1, \dots, x_n)G(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (4.11)$$

Clearly, the relation “ $\sim$ ” is an equivalent relation on $(\text{End } W)[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]]$. Furthermore, if

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n), G(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1)) \cdots ((x_n))),$$

then $F \sim G$ if and only if $F = G$. Furthermore, all the partial derivative operators $\partial/\partial x_i$ preserve the equivalence relation and the left multiplication by a Laurent polynomial also preserves the equivalence relation.

Definition 4.7. Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra and let (W, Y_W) be a quasi V -module. For any positive integer n we define a linear map

$$\pi_n^W : V^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{C}((x_1)) \cdots ((x_n)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1)) \cdots ((x_n)))$$

by

$$\pi_n^W(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes f)(w) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)Y_W(v_1, x_1) \cdots Y_W(v_n, x_n)w.$$

The following theorem was inspired by a result of [EK] (Proposition 1.11.):

Theorem 4.8. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra and let (W, Y_W) be a pseudo-local quasi V -module. Assume that the linear map π_3^W is injective. Then the pseudo-locality (4.1) uniquely defines a unitary quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2) : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V \otimes \mathbb{C}_*(x_1, x_2)$ with $\mathcal{S}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes u) = \sum_{i=1}^r v^{(i)} \otimes u^{(i)} \otimes f_i(x_2, x_1)$ such that W is an $\mathcal{S}$ -quasi V -module and*

$$[1 \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2).$$

Furthermore, if $\{Y_W(v, x) \mid v \in V\}$ is $\mathcal{S}$ -local, then $\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)$ is given by a unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator.

Proof. With $Y_W(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1_W$, it is clear that π_2^W is injective. For $u, v \in V$, by assumption there exists $\Psi(x_1, x_2) \in V \otimes V \otimes \mathbb{C}_*(x_1, x_2)$ such that

$$Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2) \sim Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\iota_{x_2, x_1}\Psi(x_2, x_1). \quad (4.12)$$

Suppose that we also have

$$Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2) \sim Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\iota_{x_2, x_1}\Phi(x_2, x_1) \quad (4.13)$$

for $\Phi(x_1, x_2) \in V \otimes V \otimes \mathbb{C}_*(x_1, x_2)$. Then

$$Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\iota_{x_2, x_1}\Psi(x_2, x_1) \sim Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\iota_{x_2, x_1}\Phi(x_2, x_1).$$

In view of Remark 4.6, we have

$$Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\iota_{x_2, x_1}\Psi(x_2, x_1) = Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\iota_{x_2, x_1}\Phi(x_2, x_1).$$

As π_2^W is injective, we have $\Psi(x_2, x_1) = \Phi(x_2, x_1)$. Thus, we have a well defined linear map $\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2) : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V \otimes \mathbb{C}_*(x_1, x_2)$ such that for $u, v \in V$,

$$Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2) \sim Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes u). \quad (4.14)$$

Let $u, v \in V$, $w \in W$ and let $p(x_1, x_2)$ be a nonzero polynomial such that

$$p(x_1, x_2)\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)(v \otimes u) = p(x_1, x_2)\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_1, x_2)(v \otimes u) \in V \otimes V \otimes \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2]]$$

and such that

$$p(x_1, x_2)Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))(u \otimes v \otimes w) = p(x_1, x_2)Y_W(x_1)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_1, x_2)(v \otimes u) \otimes w).$$

Let $q(x_1, x_2)$ be another nonzero polynomial such that

$$\begin{aligned} & q(x_1, x_2)Y_W(x_1)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))(p(x_1, x_2)\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)(v \otimes u) \otimes w) \\ &= q(x_1, x_2)Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{12}(x_2, x_1)\sigma_{12}(p(x_1, x_2)\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)(v \otimes u) \otimes w). \end{aligned}$$

(Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)\sigma\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_1, x_2)(v \otimes u)$ does not exist in general.) Then

$$\begin{aligned} & p(x_1, x_2)q(x_1, x_2)Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))(u \otimes v \otimes w) \\ &= p(x_1, x_2)q(x_1, x_2)Y_W(x_1)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_1, x_2)(v \otimes u) \otimes w) \\ &= q(x_1, x_2)Y_W(x_1)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))(p(x_1, x_2)\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)(v \otimes u) \otimes w) \\ &= q(x_1, x_2)Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{12}(x_2, x_1)\sigma_{12}(p(x_1, x_2)\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)(v \otimes u) \otimes w). \end{aligned}$$

As π_2^W is injective, we get

$$q(x_1, x_2)p(x_1, x_2)(u \otimes v) = q(x_1, x_2)\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)\sigma(p(x_1, x_2)\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)(v \otimes u)),$$

from which we get

$$\mathcal{S}_{21}(x_2, x_1)\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)(v \otimes u) = (v \otimes u). \quad (4.15)$$

This proves that $\mathcal{S}_{21}(x_2, x_1)\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2) = 1$.

As in Remark 4.4, set $R(x_1, x_2) = \mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)\sigma$. Let $a, b, c, d \in V$. Using the pseudo locality we write the product $Y_W(a, x_1)Y_W(b, x_2)Y_W(c, x_3)$ in terms of the reversely ordered product $Y_W(c, x_3)Y_W(b, x_2)Y_W(a, x_1)$ in two different ways as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_W(x_1)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes Y_W(x_3)))(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) \\ \sim & Y_W(x_1)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_3))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))\tilde{R}_{23}(x_3, x_2)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) \\ \sim & Y_W(x_3)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))\tilde{R}_{12}(x_3, x_1)\tilde{R}_{23}(x_3, x_2)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) \\ \sim & Y_W(x_3)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\tilde{R}_{23}(x_2, x_1) \\ & \cdot \tilde{R}_{12}(x_3, x_1)\tilde{R}_{23}(x_3, x_2)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_W(x_1)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes Y_W(x_3)))(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) \\ \sim & Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes Y_W(x_3))\tilde{R}_{12}(x_2, x_1)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) \\ \sim & Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_3))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\tilde{R}_{23}(x_3, x_1)\tilde{R}_{12}(x_2, x_1)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) \\ \sim & Y_W(x_3)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\tilde{R}_{12}(x_3, x_2) \\ & \cdot \tilde{R}_{23}(x_3, x_1)\tilde{R}_{12}(x_2, x_1)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_W(x_3)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\tilde{R}_{23}(x_2, x_1) \\ & \cdot \tilde{R}_{12}(x_3, x_1)\tilde{R}_{23}(x_3, x_2)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) \\ \sim & Y_W(x_3)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\tilde{R}_{12}(x_3, x_2) \\ & \cdot \tilde{R}_{23}(x_3, x_1)\tilde{R}_{12}(x_2, x_1)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

In view of Remark 4.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_W(x_3)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\tilde{R}_{23}(x_2, x_1) \\ & \cdot \tilde{R}_{12}(x_3, x_1)\tilde{R}_{23}(x_3, x_2)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) \\ = & Y_W(x_3)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))\tilde{R}_{12}(x_3, x_2) \\ & \cdot \tilde{R}_{23}(x_3, x_1)\tilde{R}_{12}(x_2, x_1)(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d). \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Since π_3^W is injective by assumption, we have

$$\tilde{R}_{23}(x_2, x_1)\tilde{R}_{12}(x_3, x_1)\tilde{R}_{23}(x_3, x_2) = \tilde{R}_{12}(x_3, x_2)\tilde{R}_{23}(x_3, x_1)\tilde{R}_{12}(x_2, x_1). \quad (4.20)$$

Thus

$$R_{23}(x_2, x_1)R_{12}(x_3, x_1)R_{23}(x_3, x_2) = R_{12}(x_3, x_2)R_{23}(x_3, x_1)R_{12}(x_2, x_1). \quad (4.21)$$

From Remark 4.4 we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{23}(x_2, x_1)\mathcal{S}_{13}(x_3, x_1)\mathcal{S}_{12}(x_3, x_2) = \mathcal{S}_{12}(x_3, x_2)\mathcal{S}_{13}(x_3, x_1)\mathcal{S}_{23}(x_2, x_1). \quad (4.22)$$

This proves that $\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)$ is a unitary quantum Yang-Baxter operator.

For $u, v \in V$, by definition we have

$$Y_W(x_1)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))(\mathcal{D}u \otimes v \otimes w) \sim Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes \mathcal{D}u) \otimes w) \quad (4.23)$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 2.9 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_W(x_1)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))(\mathcal{D}u \otimes v \otimes w) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} Y_W(x_1)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_2))(u \otimes v \otimes w) \\ &\sim \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes u) \otimes w) \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1)) \right) (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes u) \otimes w) \\ &\quad + Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes u) \otimes w) \\ &= Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))(1 \otimes \mathcal{D} \otimes 1)(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes u) \otimes w) \\ &\quad + Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes u) \otimes w). \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes \mathcal{D}u) \otimes w) \\ &\sim Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1))(1 \otimes \mathcal{D} \otimes 1)(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes u) \otimes w) \\ &\quad + Y_W(x_2)(1 \otimes Y_W(x_1)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes u) \otimes w). \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

In view of Remark 4.6 the above equivalent quantities on both sides are actually equal. Again, as π_2^W is injective, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes \mathcal{D}u) = (1 \otimes \mathcal{D})\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(x_2, x_1)(v \otimes u).$$

This proves

$$[1 \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}(x_2, x_1)] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \mathcal{S}(x_2, x_1). \quad (4.26)$$

The last assertion is clear. Now the proof is complete. $\square$

Next we associate nonlocal vertex algebras to quantum affine algebras. First, we follow [Dr] and [FJ] to present the quantum affine algebras. Let $A = (A_{ij})_{i,j=1}^l$ be a

Cartan matrix of type A_l ($l \geq 1$), D_l ($l \geq 4$), or E_l ($l = 6, 7, 8$). Let q be a nonzero complex number. For $1 \leq i, j \leq l$, set

$$f_{ij}(x) = (q^{A_{ij}}x - 1)/(x - q^{A_{ij}}) \in \mathbb{C}(x). \quad (4.27)$$

Then we set

$$g_{ij}(x)^{\pm 1} = \iota_{x,0} f_{ij}(x)^{\pm 1} \in \mathbb{C}[[x]], \quad (4.28)$$

where $\iota_{x,0} f_{ij}(x)^{\pm 1}$ are the formal Taylor series expansions of $f_{ij}(x)^{\pm 1}$ at 0. The quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is (isomorphic to) the associative algebra with unit 1 and the generators

$$\{X_{ik}^{\pm}, \phi_{im}, \psi_{in}, \gamma^{1/2}, \gamma^{-1/2} \mid i = 1, \dots, l; k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in -\mathbb{Z}_+, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}, \quad (4.29)$$

where $\gamma^{\pm 1/2}$ are central, satisfying the relations below written in terms of the following generating functions in a formal variable z :

$$X_i^{\pm}(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{ik}^{\pm} z^{-k}, \quad \phi_i(z) = \sum_{m \in -\mathbb{Z}_+} \phi_{im} z^{-m}, \quad \psi_i(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \psi_{in} z^{-n}. \quad (4.30)$$

The relations are

$$\gamma^{1/2} \gamma^{-1/2} = \gamma^{-1/2} \gamma^{1/2} = 1, \quad (4.31)$$

$$\phi_{i0} \psi_{i0} = \psi_{i0} \phi_{i0} = 1, \quad (4.32)$$

$$[\phi_i(z), \phi_j(w)] = 0, \quad [\psi_i(z), \psi_j(w)] = 0, \quad (4.33)$$

$$\phi_i(z) \psi_j(w) \phi_i(z)^{-1} \psi_j(w)^{-1} = g_{ij}(zw^{-1} \gamma^{-1}) / g_{ij}(zw^{-1} \gamma), \quad (4.34)$$

$$\phi_i(z) X_j^{\pm}(w) \phi_i(z)^{-1} = g_{ij}(zw^{-1} \gamma^{\mp 1/2})^{\pm 1} X_j^{\pm}(w), \quad (4.35)$$

$$\psi_i(z) X_j^{\pm}(w) \psi_i(z)^{-1} = g_{ij}(z^{-1} w \gamma^{\mp 1/2})^{\mp 1} X_j^{\pm}(w), \quad (4.36)$$

$$(z - q^{\pm 4A_{ij}} w) X_i^{\pm}(z) X_j^{\pm}(w) = (q^{\pm 4A_{ij}} z - w) X_j^{\pm}(w) X_i^{\pm}(z), \quad (4.37)$$

$$[X_i^+(z), X_j^-(w)] = \delta_{ij} (\delta(zw^{-1} \gamma^{-1}) \psi_i(w \gamma^{1/2}) - \delta(zw^{-1} \gamma) \phi_i(z \gamma^{1/2})) / (q - q^{-1}) \quad (4.38)$$

and there is one more set of relations of Serre type.

From the above relations we get

$$\psi_j(w) \phi_i(z) = g_{ij}(zw^{-1} \gamma) / g_{ij}(zw^{-1} \gamma^{-1}) \phi_i(z) \psi_j(w), \quad (4.39)$$

$$\psi_i(z) X_j^{\pm}(w) = g_{ij}(z^{-1} w \gamma^{\mp 1/2})^{\mp 1} X_j^{\pm}(w) \psi_i(z), \quad (4.40)$$

$$X_j^{\pm}(w) \psi_i(z) = g_{ij}(z^{-1} w \gamma^{\mp 1/2})^{\pm 1} \psi_i(z) X_j^{\pm}(w), \quad (4.41)$$

$$\psi_i(z) X_j^{\pm}(w) = g_{ij}(z^{-1} w \gamma^{\mp 1/2})^{\mp 1} X_j^{\pm}(w) \psi_i(z), \quad (4.42)$$

$$X_j^{\pm}(w) \psi_i(z) = g_{ij}(z^{-1} w \gamma^{\mp 1/2})^{\pm 1} \psi_i(z) X_j^{\pm}(w), \quad (4.43)$$

$$(z - w \gamma)(z \gamma - w) [X_i^+(z), X_j^-(w)] = 0. \quad (4.44)$$

Let W be a *restricted* $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module in the sense that $X_i^{\pm}(x), \phi_i(x), \psi_i(x)$ acting on W are elements of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. This amounts to that for any $w \in W$, $X_{ik}w = 0$ and $\psi_{ik}w = 0$ for

$i = 1, \dots, l$ and for k sufficiently large. Furthermore, assume that W is of level ℓ in the sense that $\gamma^{\pm 1/2}$ act on W as scalars $q^{\pm \ell/4}$. (Rigorously speaking, one needs to choose a branch of $\log q$.) Set

$$\Gamma(q, \ell) = \{q^{m+n\ell/4} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^\times. \quad (4.45)$$

We see that $S_W = \{\phi_i(x), \psi_i(x), X^\pm(x) \mid i = 1, \dots, l\}$ is a pseudo $\Gamma(q, \ell)$ -local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. In view of Theorem 2.20, there exists a unique smallest closed subspace V_W of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ that contains $S_W \cup \{1_W\}$ and is stable under the actions of R_α for $\alpha \in \Gamma(q, \ell)$. Furthermore, V_W is a nonlocal vertex algebra generated by $R_{\Gamma(q, \ell)}(S_W)$ with W as a quasi module. By Theorem 4.2, W is a pseudo $\Gamma(q, \ell)$ -local quasi module for V_W . To summarize we have:

Proposition 4.9. *Let q be a nonzero complex number and let W be any restricted module of level $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Set*

$$S_W = \{1_W, \phi_i(x), \psi_i(x), X^\pm(x) \mid i = 1, \dots, l\}.$$

Then S_W is a pseudo $\Gamma(q, \ell)$ -local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ and there exists a unique smallest closed pseudo $\Gamma(q, \ell)$ -local subspace V_W of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ that contains $S_W \cup \{1_W\}$ and is stable under the actions of R_α for $\alpha \in \Gamma(q, \ell)$. Furthermore, V_W is a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a faithful pseudo $\Gamma(q, \ell)$ -local quasi module.

We conjecture that if W is the level-one highest weight $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module constructed by Frenkel-Jing in [FJ], then the adjoint V_W -module is irreducible and W is an $\mathcal{S}$ -quasi module with respect to a uniquely determined quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}(x_1, x_2)$ on V_W .

5 Quantum vertex algebras and their modules

In this section we formulate and study notions of weak quantum vertex algebra and quantum vertex algebra. For our need we also prove certain basic results for general nonlocal vertex algebras and modules. Our notion of quantum vertex algebra was partly motivated by Etingof-Kazhdan's notion of quantum vertex operator algebra in [EK] and we also use some of their important ideas.

First, using the same argument as in [LL] (cf. [FHL]) we have:

Lemma 5.1. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra and let (W, Y_W) be a quasi V -module. Then for any $u, v \in V$, $w \in W$, $w^* \in W^*$, the formal series*

$$\langle w^*, Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) w \rangle,$$

an element of $\mathbb{C}((x_1))((x_2))$, lies in the range of ι_{x_1, x_2} , and the formal series

$$\langle w^*, Y_W(Y(u, x_0) v, x_2) w \rangle,$$

an element of $\mathbb{C}((x_2))((x_0))$, lies in the range of ι_{x_2, x_0} . Furthermore,

$$(\iota_{x_1, x_2}^{-1} \langle w^*, Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) w \rangle) |_{x_1=x_0+x_2} = \iota_{x_2, x_0}^{-1} \langle w^*, Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2) w \rangle. \quad (5.1)$$

If (W, Y_W) is a V -module, then

$$\iota_{x_1, x_2}^{-1} \langle w^*, Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) w \rangle \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2]][x_1^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, (x_1 - x_2)^{-1}]. \quad (5.2)$$

We also have ([LL], Theorem 3.6.3):

Proposition 5.2. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra, let (W, Y_W) be a V -module, and let*

$$u, v, u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)}, v^{(r)} \in V, f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)).$$

If

$$Y(u, x)v = f_1(-x)e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(v^{(1)}, -x)u^{(1)} + \dots + f_r(-x)e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(v^{(r)}, -x)u^{(r)} \quad (5.3)$$

(the $\mathcal{S}$ -skew symmetry), then

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1) \quad (5.4)$$

for some nonnegative integer k . If (W, Y_W) is faithful, the converse is also true.

Proof. For the first assertion, following the proof of Theorem 3.6.3 of [LL], using Lemma 5.1, the $\mathcal{S}$ -skew symmetry (5.3) and the $\mathcal{D}$ -derivative property (Lemma 2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) \\ & - x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1) \\ & = x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2), \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

from which we get (5.4).

Assume that (W, Y_W) is faithful and (5.4) holds. In view of Lemma 2.2, (5.5) holds and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1) \\ & - (-x_0)^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) \\ & = x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(-x_0) Y_W(Y(v^{(i)}, -x_0)u^{(i)}, x_1) \\ & = x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^r Y_W(f_i(-x_0)Y(v^{(i)}, -x_0)u^{(i)}, x_2 + x_0) \\ & = x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^r Y_W(f_i(-x_0)e^{x_0\mathcal{D}}Y(v^{(i)}, -x_0)u^{(i)}, x_2), \end{aligned}$$

where we are also using the $\mathcal{D}$ -derivative property (Lemma 2.9). Consequently we have

$$Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^r Y_W(f_i(-x_0)e^{x_0\mathcal{D}}Y(v^{(i)}, -x_0)u^{(i)}, x_2).$$

As Y_W is injective we obtain (5.3). $\square$

With the adjoint module (V, Y) being faithful, as immediate consequences of Proposition 5.2 we have:

Corollary 5.3. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra and let*

$$u, v, u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)}, v^{(r)} \in V, f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)).$$

Then

$$Y(u, x)v = f_1(-x)e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(v^{(1)}, -x)u^{(1)} + \dots + f_r(-x)e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(v^{(r)}, -x)u^{(r)} \quad (5.6)$$

if and only if

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1)Y(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y(u^{(i)}, x_1) \quad (5.7)$$

for some nonnegative integer k .

Corollary 5.4. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra, let (W, Y_W) be a V -module and let*

$$u, v, u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)}, v^{(r)} \in V, f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)).$$

If

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1)Y(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y(u^{(i)}, x_1) \quad (5.8)$$

for some nonnegative integer k , then

$$(x_1 - x_2)^l Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^l \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1)Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1) \quad (5.9)$$

for some nonnegative integer l . If (W, Y_W) is faithful, the converse is also true.

Now we are ready to introduce the notions of weak quantum vertex algebra and quantum vertex algebra and present the main results.

Definition 5.5. A *weak quantum vertex algebra* (over $\mathbb{C}$) is a vector space V equipped with a linear map

$$Y : V \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(V) = \text{Hom}(V, V((x))); \quad v \mapsto Y(v, x)$$

and a distinguished vector $\mathbf{1} \in V$ such that

$$Y(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1, \tag{5.10}$$

$$Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} \in V[[x]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} = v \quad \text{for } v \in V, \tag{5.11}$$

and such that for $u, v \in V$, there exists

$$\sum_{i=1}^r v^{(i)} \otimes u^{(i)} \otimes f_i(x) \in V \otimes V \otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y(u, x_1) Y(v, x_2) \\ & - x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(-x_0) Y(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y(u^{(i)}, x_1) \\ & = x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2). \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Clearly, the notion of quantum vertex algebra generalizes the notions of vertex algebra and vertex superalgebra.

Remark 5.6. In view of Lemma 2.2, we see that a weak quantum vertex algebra amounts to a nonlocal vertex algebra V satisfying the following *$\mathcal{S}$ -locality*: For any $u, v \in V$, there exist $f_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$, $u^{(i)}, v^{(i)} \in V$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y(u, x_1) Y(v, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y(u^{(i)}, x_1) \tag{5.13}$$

for some nonnegative integer k .

For a weak quantum vertex algebra V , we define a *V -module* to be a module for V viewed as a nonlocal vertex algebra.

Lemma 5.7. *Let V be a weak quantum vertex algebra and let (W, Y_W) be a V -module, namely, a module for V viewed as a nonlocal vertex algebra. For $u, v \in V$, $f_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$, $u^{(i)}, v^{(i)} \in V$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, if (5.12) holds, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) \\ & - x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(-x_0) Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1) \\ & = x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2). \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.2, for a nonlocal vertex algebra, (5.12) is equivalent to (5.13) for some nonnegative integer k while for a module (5.14) is equivalent to (5.9) for some nonnegative integer l . Then it follows immediately from Corollary 5.4. $\square$

The following is one of the main results of this section:

Theorem 5.8. *Let W be any vector space and let S be any $\mathcal{S}$ -local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$. Then the nonlocal vertex algebra $\langle S \rangle$ generated by S is a weak quantum vertex algebra and W is a faithful $\langle S \rangle$ -module with $Y_W(\alpha(x), x_0) = \alpha(x_0)$ for $\alpha(x) \in \langle S \rangle$.*

Proof. From Theorem 2.20 (with $\Gamma = \{1\}$), $\langle S \rangle$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a faithful quasi module. By Proposition 3.9, $\langle S \rangle$ is $\mathcal{S}$ -local. For any $a(x), b(x) \in \langle S \rangle$, there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k a(x_1) b(x_2) \in \text{Hom}(W, W((x_1, x_2))).$$

By Proposition 2.12, for any $w \in W$, there exists a nonnegative integer l such that

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0 + x)^l x_0^k (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0) b(x)) w &= (x_0 + x)^l x_0^k a(x_0 + x) b(x) w \\ &= (x_0 + x)^l x_0^k Y_W(a(x), x_0 + x) Y_W(b(x), x) w. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $Y_W(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0) b(x), x_2) w = (Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x_2), x_0) b(x_2)) w$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(x_0 + x_2)^l x_0^k Y_W(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0) b(x), x_2) w \\ &= (x_0 + x_2)^l x_0^k Y_W(a(x), x_0 + x_2) Y_W(b(x), x_2) w. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that W is actually an $\langle S \rangle$ -module. It follows from Corollary 5.4 and Remark 5.6 that $\langle S \rangle$ is a weak quantum vertex algebra. $\square$

Proposition 5.9. *Let V be a weak quantum vertex algebra and let W be a vector space equipped with a linear map Y_W from V to $\text{Hom}(W, W((x))) (= \mathcal{E}(W))$ with $Y_W(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1_W$. Then (W, Y_W) carries the structure of a V -module if and only if $\{Y_W(v, x) \mid v \in V\} \subset \mathcal{E}(W)$ is $\mathcal{S}$ -local and closed and Y_W is a nonlocal vertex algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. If (W, Y_W) carries the structure of a V -module, from Corollary 5.4, $\{Y_W(v, x) \mid v \in V\}$ is $\mathcal{S}$ -local. By Proposition 2.22, $Y_W(V)$ is closed and Y_W is a homomorphism of weak quantum vertex algebras. Conversely, under the hypothesis that $Y_W(V)$ is $\mathcal{S}$ -local and closed, by Theorem 5.8, $Y_W(V)$ is a weak quantum vertex algebra with W naturally as a module. As Y_W is a homomorphism, W is a V -module. $\square$

Definition 5.10. A *quantum vertex algebra* (over $\mathbb{C}$) is a weak quantum vertex algebra V equipped with a unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}(x)$ on V such that for $u, v \in V$, (5.12) holds with

$$\sum_{i=1}^r v^{(i)} \otimes u^{(i)} \otimes f_i(x) = \mathcal{S}(x)(v \otimes u).$$

Immediately from Theorem 4.8 with $W = V$ we have:

Theorem 5.11. *Let V be a weak quantum vertex algebra. Assume that the linear map π_3^V is injective. Then there exists a unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}$ on V such that $(Y, \mathcal{S})$ is a quantum vertex algebra and such $\mathcal{S}$ is uniquely determined by the $\mathcal{S}$ -locality.*

The following notion (for a vertex algebra) is due to Etingof and Kazhdan (see [EK]):

Definition 5.12. A nonlocal vertex algebra V is said to be *nondegenerate* if for any positive integer n , the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n &= Y(x_1)(1 \otimes Y(x_2)) \cdots (1^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes Y(x_n))(1^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbf{1}) : \\ &V^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{C}((x_1)) \cdots ((x_n)) \rightarrow V((x_1)) \cdots ((x_n)) \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

defined by

$$Z_n(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes f) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) Y(v_1, x_1) \cdots Y(v_n, x_n) \mathbf{1} \quad (5.16)$$

for $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$, $f \in \mathbb{C}((x_1)) \cdots ((x_n))$ is injective.

If Z_n is injective for some positive integer n , it is clear that π_n^V is injective. Then we immediately have:

Corollary 5.13. *Every nondegenerate weak quantum vertex algebra is a quantum vertex algebra where the quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}(x)$ is uniquely determined.*

6 General constructions of nonlocal vertex algebras and their quasi modules

In this section we give certain general construction theorems for nonlocal vertex algebras and their quasi modules.

First we formulate the following analogue of a result of [Li1]:

Lemma 6.1. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra, let W be a quasi V -module and let $e \in W$ be a vacuum-like vector in the sense that*

$$Y(v, x)e \in W[[x]] \quad \text{for } v \in V. \quad (6.1)$$

Then the linear map $\phi : V \rightarrow W$; $v \mapsto v_{-1}e$ is a V -homomorphism uniquely determined by the condition $\mathbf{1} \mapsto e$.

Proof. For any $u, v \in V$, there exists $0 \neq p(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ such that

$$p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)e = p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2)e.$$

As $Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)e \in W[[x_2]]((x_0))$ and $Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2)e \in W((x_0))[[x_2]]$, we have $Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)e = Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2)e$. Then it follows immediately. $\square$

Furthermore we have:

Proposition 6.2. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra and let (W, Y_W) be a quasi V -module. Suppose that $L(-1) \in \text{End } {}_{\mathbb{C}}W$ and $e \in W$ be such that $L(-1)e = 0$ and*

$$[L(-1), Y_W(u, x)] = \frac{d}{dx} Y_W(u, x) \quad (6.2)$$

for $u \in U$, where U is a generating subset of V . Then the linear map $\phi : V \rightarrow W$; $v \mapsto v_{-1}e$ is a V -homomorphism uniquely determined by the condition $\mathbf{1} \mapsto e$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 6.1 it suffices to prove that e is a vacuum-like vector. If we can prove $[L(-1), Y_W(v, x)] = \frac{d}{dx} Y_W(v, x)$ for all $v \in V$, the same argument of Proposition 3.3 of [Li1] shows that e is a vacuum-like vector. Set

$$T = \{v \in V \mid [L(-1), Y_W(v, x)] = \frac{d}{dx} Y_W(v, x)\}.$$

We must prove $T = V$. By assumption, $U \subset T$, and clearly $\mathbf{1} \in T$. As U generates V , it suffices to prove that T is a subalgebra. Let $u, v \in T$, $w \in W$. There exists a nonzero polynomial $p(x, y)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w &= p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2)w, \\ p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2) L(-1)w &= p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2) L(-1)w. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & p(x_0 + x_2, x_2)^2 [L(-1), Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)]w \\ &= p(x_0 + x_2, x_2)^2 [L(-1), Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2)]w \\ &= p(x_0 + x_2, x_2)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2)w \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} (p(x_0 + x_2, x_2)^2 Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2)w) \\ &\quad - 2p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) \right) Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2)w \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} (p(x_0 + x_2, x_2)^2 Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w) \\ &\quad - 2p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) \right) Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w \\ &= p(x_0 + x_2, x_2)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$[L(-1), Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)]w = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w.$$

It follows that $Y(u, x_0)v \in T((x_0))$, proving that K is a subalgebra of V . $\square$

The following is an analogue of a theorem of [FKRW] and [MP]:

Theorem 6.3. *Let V be a vector space, $\mathbf{1}$ a vector of V , $L(-1)$ a linear operator on V , U a subset of V , and*

$$Y_0 : U \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V((x))), \quad u \mapsto Y_0(u, x) = u(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u_n x^{-n-1}, \quad (6.3)$$

a map. Assume that all the following conditions hold:

$$L(-1)\mathbf{1} = 0, \quad (6.4)$$

$$[L(-1), u(x)] = \frac{d}{dx}u(x), \quad (6.5)$$

$$u(x)\mathbf{1} \in V[[x]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x)\mathbf{1} = u \quad \text{for } u \in U, \quad (6.6)$$

the subset $Y_0(U) = \{u(x) \mid u \in U\}$ of $\mathcal{E}(V)$ is quasi compatible, and

$$V = \text{span}\{u_{n_1}^1 \cdots u_{n_r}^r \mathbf{1} \mid r \in \mathbb{N}, u^i \in U, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \quad (6.7)$$

In addition we assume that there exists a linear map ψ from V into $\langle Y_0(U) \rangle$ such that $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = 1_W$ and

$$\psi(u_n v) = u(x)_n \psi(v) \quad \text{for } u \in U, v \in V, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (6.8)$$

Then Y_0 can be extended uniquely to a linear map $Y : V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V((x)))$ such that $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra. In the assumption, if $Y_0(U)$ is $\mathcal{S}$ -local, then V is a weak quantum vertex algebra.

Proof. With the spanning property (6.7), the uniqueness is clear. Now we must prove the existence. With $Y_0(U)$ being quasi compatible, by Theorem 2.20, we have a nonlocal vertex algebra $H = \langle Y_0(U) \rangle$, which is generated by $Y_0(U)$ inside $\mathcal{E}(V)$, and V is a faithful quasi H -module with $Y_V(\alpha(x), x_0) = \alpha(x_0)$. From (6.5), for $u \in U$ we have

$$[L(-1), Y_V(u(x), x_0)] = [L(-1), u(x_0)] = \frac{d}{dx_0}u(x_0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}Y_V(u(x), x_0)$$

and $L(-1)\mathbf{1} = 0$. By Proposition 6.2, we have an H -homomorphism ϕ from H onto V where

$$\phi(\alpha(x)) = \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow 0} Y_V(\alpha(x), x_0)\mathbf{1} = \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow 0} \alpha(x_0)\mathbf{1} \quad \text{for } \alpha(x) \in H.$$

For $u \in U$ we have

$$\phi(1_W) = \mathbf{1}, \quad \phi(u(x)) = \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow 0} u(x_0)\mathbf{1} = u.$$

Using (6.6) and (6.8) we have

$$\psi(u) = \psi(u_{-1}\mathbf{1}) = u(x)_{-1}1_W = u(x) \quad \text{for } u \in U.$$

It follows that ϕ and ψ are inverse each other. Then the nonlocal vertex algebra structure on H can be transported onto V so that V is a nonlocal vertex algebra. Using the fact that ϕ is an H -homomorphism we have

$$Y(u, x_0) = \phi Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u(x), x_0) \phi^{-1} = Y_V(u(x), x_0) = u(x_0)$$

for $u \in U$. Thus, Y indeed extends Y_0 .

If $Y_0(U)$ is $\mathcal{S}$ -local, by Proposition 3.9, $H = \langle Y_0(U) \rangle$ is a weak quantum vertex algebra. Thus V is a weak quantum vertex algebra. $\square$

Remark 6.4. In contrast with the theorem in [FKRW] and [MP] (cf. [LL]), here we have one more assumption (the last one with ψ). We mention that this assumption is necessary in this situation. For example, let A be any nonassociative algebra with identity. Set $\mathbf{1} = 1$ and $L(-1) = 0$. Define a linear map $Y : A \rightarrow \text{End } A$ by $Y(a, x)b = ab$. Then all the assumptions in Theorem 6.3 hold except the last one. We see that $(A, Y, 1)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra if and only if A is associative.

We also have the following analogue of a result of [LL]:

Theorem 6.5. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra, U a generating subspace and W a vector space equipped with a linear map Y_W^0 from U to $\text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$. Assume that $\{Y_W^0(u, x) \mid u \in U\} \subset \mathcal{E}(W)$ is quasi compatible. Then Y_W^0 can be extended (uniquely) to a linear map Y_W from V to $\text{Hom}(W, W((x)))$ such that (W, Y_W) carries the structure of a quasi V -module if and only if there exists a linear map f from V to $\langle Y_W^0(U) \rangle$ such that $f(\mathbf{1}) = 1_W$ and*

$$f(u_n v) = u(x)_n f(v) \quad \text{for } u \in U, v \in V. \quad (6.9)$$

Proof. Assume the existence of f . Note that since $Y_W^0(U)$ is a quasi compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, $\langle Y_W^0(U) \rangle$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a quasi module (by Theorem 2.20). As U generates V , it follows from (6.9) (cf. [LL]) that f is a nonlocal vertex algebra homomorphism. Then W is naturally a quasi module for V through the map f . Clearly, the map Y_W extends Y_W^0 .

Conversely, assume that Y_W^0 can be extended to a quasi module structure Y_W for V . Set $f = Y_W$, a linear map from V to $\mathcal{E}(W)$. If we can prove

$$Y_W(v, x) \in \langle Y_W^0(U) \rangle \quad \text{for all } v \in V,$$

then by Proposition 2.21, f is a linear map from V to $\langle Y_W^0(U) \rangle$ with the desired properties. Set

$$T = \{v \in V \mid Y_W(v, x) \in \langle Y_W^0(U) \rangle\}.$$

Now it suffices to prove $V = T$. Clearly, T contains U and $\mathbf{1}$. For any $a, b \in T$, since $(Y_W(a, x), Y_W(b, x))$ is quasi compatible (because $\langle Y_W^0(U) \rangle$ is a quasi compatible set), by Proposition 2.21 we have

$$Y_W(Y(a, x_0)b, x) = Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_W(a, x), x_0)Y_W(b, x) \in \langle Y_W^0(U) \rangle((x_0)).$$

It follows that T is closed. As U generates V , we have $V = T$, completing the proof. $\square$

In practical applications, the following result, which follows immediately from Theorem 2.17 and the following Proposition 6.7, shall often be used as a companion of Theorems 6.3 and 6.5:

Proposition 6.6. *Let W be a vector space, let V be a closed quasi compatible subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$, containing 1_W , and let*

$$u(x), v(x), u^{(1)}(x), v^{(1)}(x), \dots, u^{(r)}(x), v^{(r)}(x), c^0(x), \dots, c^s(x) \in V, f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)).$$

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 - x_2)^n u(x_1) v(x_2) - (-x_2 + x_1)^n \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) v^{(i)}(x_2) u^{(i)}(x_1) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^s c^j(x_2) \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^j x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

for some integer n , then

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 - x_2)^n Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u(x), x_1) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(v(x), x_2) \\ & - (-x_2 + x_1)^n \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(v^{(i)}(x), x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u^{(i)}(x), x_1) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^s Y_{\mathcal{E}}(c^j(x), x_2) \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^j x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

The following is a generalization of Corollary 5.4:

Proposition 6.7. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra, let (W, Y_W) be a V -module and let*

$$n \in \mathbb{Z}, u, v, u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)}, v^{(r)}, c^0, \dots, c^s \in V, f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)).$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 - x_2)^n Y(u, x_1) Y(v, x_2) - (-x_2 + x_1)^n \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y(u^{(i)}, x_1) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^s Y(c^j, x_2) \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^j x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 - x_2)^n Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) - (-x_2 + x_1)^n \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^s Y_W(c^j, x_2) \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^j x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

If (W, Y_W) is faithful, the converse is also true.

Proof. Assume (6.12). Then for any nonnegative integer k with $k \geq n, s+1$ we have

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y(u, x_1) Y(v, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y(u^{(i)}, x_1). \quad (6.14)$$

In view of Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y(u, x_1) Y(v, x_2) \\ & - x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y(u^{(i)}, x_1) \\ & = x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2), \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 - x_2)^n Y(u, x_1) Y(v, x_2) - (-x_2 + x_1)^n \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y(u^{(i)}, x_1) \\ & = \sum_{j \geq 0} Y(u_{n+j}v, x_2) \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^j x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

Combining this with (6.12) we get $c^j = u_{j+n}v$ for $j = 0, \dots, s$ and $u_{n+j}v = 0$ for $j > s$, as (V, Y) being faithful.

Also, with (6.14), by Corollary 5.4 we have

$$(x_1 - x_2)^l Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^l \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1) \quad (6.17)$$

for some nonnegative integer l . In view of Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) \\ & - x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1) \\ & = x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2), \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 - x_2)^n Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) - (-x_2 + x_1)^n \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2) Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1) \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^s Y_W(u_{j+n}v, x_2) \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^j x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2} \right) \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^s Y_W(c^j, x_2) \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^j x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

proving (6.13).

On the other hand, assume that (W, Y_W) is a faithful V -module and (6.13) holds. Just as in the first part, first we have (6.17) for any nonnegative integer $l \geq n, s+1$, and then we have (6.18), which gives the first equality in (6.19). Using (6.13) we have the second equality in (6.19). As (W, Y_W) is faithful, we get $u_{j+n}v = c^j$ for $j = 0, \dots, s$ and $u_{n+j}v = 0$ for $j > s$. From (6.17), by Corollary 5.4 (6.14) holds for some nonnegative integer k , then (6.15) holds, and then (6.16) holds. Finally we obtain (6.10). $\square$

In the following we present an example to illustrate how the general construction theorems can be used. Let $E = K \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ be a semidirect product Lie algebra with K an ideal and with $\mathfrak{g}$ a Lie subalgebra. Suppose that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a symmetric invariant bilinear form on K such that $\langle [a, u], v \rangle = -\langle u, [a, v] \rangle$ for $u, v \in K, a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Extend $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to a bilinear form on E by $\langle u + a, v + b \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$ for $u, v \in K, a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is symmetric and invariant. Associated to $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ we have the affine Lie algebra $\hat{E} = E \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c$. Consider the following Lie subalgebra

$$\mathcal{L} = K \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]), \quad (6.20)$$

which is the semidirect product Lie algebra of $\hat{K}$ with $\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$. Let ℓ be any complex number. Let $\mathbb{C}_\ell$ be the 1-dimensional module for $K[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ with $K[t]$ acting as zero and with c acting as scalar ℓ . Form the induced $\mathcal{L}$ -module

$$V_{\mathcal{L}}(\ell, 0) = U(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}c)} \mathbb{C}_\ell. \quad (6.21)$$

In view of the P-B-W theorem, we have

$$V_{\mathcal{L}}(\ell, 0) = U(E \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]), \quad (6.22)$$

as a vector space. Set $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes 1 \in V_{\mathcal{L}}(\ell, 0)$. Embed E into $V_{\mathcal{L}}(\ell, 0)$ through the map $v \mapsto v(-1)\mathbf{1}$, where for $v \in E, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $v(n)$ denotes the corresponding operator of $v \otimes t^n$.

Proposition 6.8. *There exists a unique weak quantum vertex algebra structure on $V_{\mathcal{L}}(\ell, 0)$ with $\mathbf{1}$ as the vacuum vector such that for $u \in K, a \in \mathfrak{g}$,*

$$Y(u, x) = u(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u(n)x^{-n-1}, \quad Y(a, x) = a(x)^+ = \sum_{n \geq 1} a(-n)x^{n-1}. \quad (6.23)$$

Proof. We shall apply Theorem 6.3. Note that $1 \otimes d/dt$ is a derivation of $\mathcal{L}$. It follows (cf. [LL], Remark 6.2.9) that there exists a (unique) operator $L(-1)$ on $V_{\mathcal{L}}(\ell, 0)$ such that $L(-1)\mathbf{1} = 0$ and

$$[L(-1), u(x)] = (d/dx)u(x), \quad [L(-1), a(x)^+] = (d/dx)a(x)^+$$

for $u \in K, a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Set $U = \{1, u(x), a(x)^+ \mid u \in K, a \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. By a straightforward calculation (cf. [EFK]) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [u(x_1), v(x_2)] &= [u, v](x_2)x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) + \ell\langle u, v \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right), \\ [a(x_1)^+, u(x_2)] &= [a, u](x_2)(x_2 - x_1)^{-1}, \\ [a(x_1)^+, b(x_2)^+] &= (x_2 - x_1)^{-1}([a, b](x_2)^+ - [a, b](x_1)^+) \end{aligned}$$

for $u, v \in K$, $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$. It follows that U is $\mathcal{S}$ -local. By Theorem 5.8, U generates a weak quantum vertex algebra $\langle U \rangle$. It follows from Proposition 6.6 that $\langle U \rangle$ is naturally an $\mathcal{L}$ -module of level ℓ . Then there exists a unique $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism ψ from V to $\langle U \rangle$, sending 1_W to $\mathbf{1}$. Now, we have all the conditions assumed in Theorem 6.3. $\square$

References

- [BK] B. Bakalov and V. Kac, Field algebras, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **3** (2003), 123-159.
- [BDM] K. Barron, C. Dong and G. Mason, Twisted sectors for tensor product vertex operator algebras associated to permutation groups, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **227** (2002), 349-384.
- [B1] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.
- [B2] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, in “*Topological Field Theory, Primitive Forms and Related Topics*” (Kyoto, 1996), edited by M. Kashiwara, A. Matsuo, K. Saito and I. Satake, Progress in Math., Vol. 160, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998, 35-77.
- [B3] R. E. Borcherds, Quantum vertex algebras, preprint, arXiv:math.QA/9903038.
- [CP] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Progress in Math. **112**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [Dr] V. G. Drinfeld, A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **36** (1988), 212-216.
- [EFK] P. Etingof, I. Frenkel and A. Kirillov Jr., *Lectures on Representation Theory and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 58, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1998.
- [EK] P. Etingof and D. Kazhdan, Quantization of Lie bialgebras, V, *Selecta Mathematica, New Series*, **6** (2000), 105-130.
- [FRT] L. Faddeev, N. Reshetikhin and L. Takhtajan, Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras, in *Algebraic Analysis, Vol. 1*, M. Kashiwara and T. Kawai (eds), pp 129-39, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [FKRW] E. Frenkel, V. Kac, A. Ratiu and W. Wang, $W_{1+\infty}$ and $W(gl_\infty)$ with central charge N , *Commun. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995), 337-357.

- [FR] E. Frenkel and N. Reshetikhin, Towards deformed chiral algebras, in: *Quantum Group Symposium, Proc. of 1996 Goslar conference*, ed. by H.-D. Doebner and V. K. Dobrev, Heron Press, Sofia, 1997, 27-42.
- [FHL] I. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.
- [FJ] I. B. Frenkel and N.-H. Jing, Vertex representations of quantum affine algebras, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **85** (1988), 9373-9377.
- [FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Appl. Math., **Vol. 134**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [FZ] I. Frenkel and Y.-C. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.
- [J] M. Jimbo, A q -difference analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10** (1985), 63-69.
- [K1] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [K2] V. G. Kac, *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, University Lecture Series, Vol. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.
- [LL] J. Lepowsky and H.-S. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Math. **227**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [Li1] H.-S. Li, Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **96** (1994), 279-297.
- [Li2] H.-S. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **109** (1996), 143-195; hep-th/9406185.
- [Li3] H.-S. Li, Local systems of twisted vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and twisted modules, *Contemporary Math.* **193**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1996, 203-236.
- [Li4] H.-S. Li, Axiomatic G_1 -vertex algebras, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **5** (2003), 281-327.
- [Li5] H.-S. Li, A new construction of vertex algebras and quasi modules for vertex algebras, *Advances in Math.*; arXiv: math.QA/0404100.
- [MP] A. Meurman and M. Primc, Annihilating Fields of Standard Modules of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})}$ and Combinatorial Identities, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **652**, 1999.